arXiv:1411.5871v1 [math.NT] 21 Nov 2014

DIFFERENTIABILITY OF ARITHMETIC FOURIER SERIES ARISING FROM EISENSTEIN SERIES

IZABELA PETRYKIEWICZ

Abstract. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ be even. We consider two series $F_k(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(n)}{n^{k+1}} \sin(2\pi nx)$ and $G_k(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(n)}{n^{k+1}} \cos(2\pi nx)$, where σ_{k-1} is the divisor function. They converge on $\mathbb{R}$ to continuous functions. In this paper, we examine the differentiability of F_k and G_k. These functions are related to Eisenstein series and their (quasi-)modular properties allow us to apply the method proposed by Itatsu in 1981 in the study of the Riemann series. We focus on the case $k = 2$ and we show that the sine series exhibits different behaviour with respect to differentiability than the cosine series. We prove that the differentiability of F_2 at an irrational x is related to the fine diophantine properties of x. We estimate the modulus of continuity of F_2. We formulate a conjecture concerning differentiability of F_k and G_k for any k even.

1. Introduction and statement of the results

In this paper, we study certain analytic properties of arithmetic Fourier series arising from Eisenstein series. Some of the results presented here have been already announced in [Pet14a], where the sketches of the proofs were given. In this paper, we prove all these statements in detail and more. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ be even. The Eisenstein series of weight $k \geq 4$ is defined in the upper-half plane $\mathbb{H}$ by

$$E_k(z) = \frac{1}{2\zeta(k)} \sum_{\substack{m,n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{(m+nz)^k}.$$

Its Fourier expansion is

$$E_k(z) = 1 - \frac{2k}{B_k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) e^{2\pi i n z}, \tag{1}$$

Date: November 24, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26A24; Secondary 11A55, 11F03, 26A15, 26A27, 42A16.

Key words and phrases. Eisenstein series, Differentiability, Modulus of continuity.

where B_k is the k-th Bernoulli number, and $\sigma_{k-1}(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^{k-1}$. It is modular of weight k under the action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. If $k = 2$, we consider

$$E_2(z) = \frac{3}{\pi^2} \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \left(\sum_{\substack{m,n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{(m+nz)^2 |m+nz|^{\varepsilon}} \right) + \frac{3}{\pi \mathrm{Im}(z)},$$

which defines a quasi-modular form under $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with the Fourier expansion as in Equation (1). The function E_2 can be viewed as a modular (or Eichler) integral on $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of weight 2 with the rational period function $-\frac{2\pi i}{z}$, see for example [Kno90].

For each k even, we consider the following two series

$$F_k(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(n)}{n^{k+1}} \sin(2\pi n x) \quad \text{and} \quad G_k(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(n)}{n^{k+1}} \cos(2\pi n x).$$

Since $\sigma_0(n) = o(n^{\varepsilon})$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ (see for example [Ten95, p. 83]), these series converge on $\mathbb{R}$. We are interested in differentiability and modulus of continuity of F_k and G_k. In particular, we focus on the case $k = 2$. The functions are interesting, because they exhibit different behaviour concerning differentiability. For instance, we think that G_2 is differentiable at all irrational points, but due to technical difficulties we have not proved this. The differentiability of F_2 at x depends on the continued fraction expansion of x. Already in 1933, Wilton in his work [Wil33] proved that there is a connection between some series involving divisor functions and continued fractions. In this paper (among other series) he considered the following two series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_0(n)}{n} \cos 2\pi n x; \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_0(n)}{n} \sin 2\pi n x.$$

He showed that the convergence of these series at x depends on the diophantine properties of x.

Our work is motivated by the example of the Riemann "non-differentiable" function which is defined as

$$S(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sin(\pi n^2 x).$$

At the end of the 19th century, it was thought to be continuous but nowhere differentiable. Then in 1910s Hardy and Littlewood proved that $S(x)$ was indeed neither differentiable at any irrational point x, nor at rational points $x = \frac{p}{q}$ such that p, q were not both odd, [Har16, HL14]. Later, in 1970 in [Ger70], Gerver showed that $S(x)$ was in fact differentiable at rational points $\frac{p}{q}$ such that p and q are both odd, however his proof was long. In 1981, in a 4-page paper "Differentiability of Riemann's Function" [Ita81], Itatsu gave an alternative proof of differentiability of S at these rational points. His method was based on the relationship between $S(x)$ and the theta function $\theta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\pi n^2 z}$, which is an automorphic form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ under the action of the θ-modular group. He considered

a complex-valued function $\mathcal{S}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2\pi i} e^{in^2\pi x}$, whose real part is $S(x)$. Then he obtained a functional equation for $\mathcal{S}$ from its relationship to θ and Jacobi identity satisfied by θ, namely for all $0 \neq \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$ we have:

$$\mathcal{S}\Big(\frac{p}{q} + h\Big) - \mathcal{S}\Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big) = R(p,q)p^{-1/2}e^{\pi i/4 \cdot h/|h|}|h|^{1/2}\frac{h}{|h|} - \frac{h}{2} + O(|h|^{3/2}),$$

where $R(p,q)$ is a constant that depends on p and q and is zero if and only if p and q are both odd. He read off the behaviour of S around rational points from this equation. In 1991, Duistermaat used this method to study Hölder regularity exponent of $S(x)$ reproving the results on its differentiability on $\mathbb{R}$, see [Dui91].

The approach developed by Itatsu has been implemented by various mathematicians. For example, Balazard and Martin used it in studying the differentiability of the function

$$A(x) = \int_0^{\infty} \{t\}\{xt\}\frac{1}{t^2}dt,$$

where $\{y\}$ is the fractional part of y. The function $A(x)$ is interesting, because the Riemann hypothesis can be reformulated in terms of $A(x)$ or more precisely, the Nyman and Beurling criterion can be rephrased in terms of $A(x)$, see [BDBLS05]. It has been shown by Báez-Duarte, Balazard, Landreau and Saias that for all $x > 0$ we have

$$A(x) = \frac{1}{2}\log(x) + C + \frac{1}{2\pi^2 x}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_0(n)}{n^2}\cos(2\pi n x) - \frac{x}{\pi^2}\int_x^{\infty}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_0(n)}{n^2}\cos(2\pi n t)dt,$$

where C is a constant. In 2011, Balazard and Martin proved that A is differentiable at x if and only if $x > 0 \notin \mathbb{Q}$, and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k\frac{\log(q_{k+1}(x)}{q_k(x)}$ converges, where $q_i(x)$ is the denominator of the ith convergent of x, see [BM12, BM13].

We can now state our first theorem.

Theorem 1. *Neither F_2 nor G_2 is differentiable at any $x \in \mathbb{Q}$. However, G_2 is right and left differentiable at each $x \in \mathbb{Q}$.*

Cf. [Pet14a, Theorem 1.1]. If we denote the left and the right derivative of G_2 at $\frac{p}{q}$ (where we take $p = 0$, $q = 1$ when $\frac{p}{q} = 0$,) by $G_2'\left(\frac{p}{q}^-\right), G_2'\left(\frac{p}{q}^+\right)$ respectively, then $G_2'\left(\frac{p}{q}^-\right) - G_2'\left(\frac{p}{q}^+\right) = \frac{\pi^4}{3q^2}$.

In order to state the results for irrational points, we need to fix the notation. We can write every number $x \in (0,1)$ as a continued fraction $x = \frac{1}{a_1(x)+\frac{1}{a_2(x)+\frac{1}{a_3(x)+\frac{1}{\ddots}}}} = [0; a_1(x), a_2(x), ..., a_i(x), ...]$, with $a_i(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ for all i. If $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, this representation is finite (i.e. there exist $k > 0$ such that $a_i(x) = 0$ for all $i \geq k$), otherwise it is infinite. Let T be the Gauss map, *ie.* $T(0) = 0$ and $T(x) = \frac{1}{x} \mod 1$ otherwise. For brevity, write $T^0(x) = x$ and $T^k = T(T^{k-1}(x))$ if $k > 0$. The partial quotients of x can be calculated from the Gauss map by $a_i(x) = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{T^{i-1}x} \right\rfloor$, where $\lfloor y \rfloor$ is the floor function. Let $(\frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)})_n$ be the sequence of continued fraction approximations of x, that is $\frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)} =$

$[0; a_1(x), a_2(x), ..., a_n(x)]$. The convergents can be obtained from partial quotients by the recurrence relations: $p_n(x) = a_n(x)p_{n-1}(x) + p_{n-2}(x)$, $q_n(x) = a_n(x)q_{n-1}(x) + q_{n-2}(x)$, for $n \geq 0$, and $p_{-1}(x) = 1$, $p_{-2}(x) = 0$, $q_{-1}(x) = 0$, $q_{-2}(x) = 1$. We make the following definition.

Definition 1. Let $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. We will say that x is a square-Brjuno number if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log q_{n+1}(x)}{q_n(x)^2} < \infty.$$

In addition, we introduce two technical conditions:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log q_{n+4}(x)}{q_n(x)^2} = 0; \tag{$*$}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log q_{n+3}(x)}{q_n(x)^2} = 0, \text{ and } a_n(x) = 1 \text{ for only finitely many } n. \tag{$**$}$$

We note that square-Brjuno property and Conditions $(*)$ and $(**)$ are independent.

We have the following theorem.

Theorem 2. (i) *If $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is a square-Brjuno number satisfying $(*)$ or $(**)$, then F_2 is differentiable at x. On the other hand, if $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is not a square-Brjuno number, then F_2 is not differentiable at x.*

(ii) *If $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ satisfies $(*)$ or $(**)$, then G_2 is differentiable at x.*

Cf. [Pet14a, Theorem 1.3].

We observe that Condition $(*)$ is satisfied for almost all x, whereas Condition $(**)$ holds for almost no x. We believe that both conditions $(*)$ and $(**)$ could be removed in Theorem 2, however the applied method does not enable us to do this, which we will demonstrate in Section 3. Moreover, almost all numbers are square-Brjuno. If $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is not square-Brjuno, then it must be Liouville. It follows that the set of irrational numbers which are not square-Brjuno has both Lebesgue measure and Hausdorff dimension equal to 0.

We are also interested in the modulus of continuity of F_2. We say that a real-valued function f admits a modulus of continuity g, if for all x, y in the domain of f we have $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq g(|x - y|)$. We say that a real-valued function f admits a local modulus of continuity g at a point x, if for all y in the domain of f we have $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq g(|x - y|)$. We have the following result.

Theorem 3. *For all $x \in (0, 1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and all $y \in (0, 1)$, we have*

$$|F_2(x) - F_2(y)| \leq C_1|x - y| \log\left(\frac{1}{|x - y|}\right) + C_2|x - y|, \tag{2}$$

for some constants C_1, C_2 dependent only on x.

If x is square-Brjuno satisfying $(*)$ or $(**)$, then $C_1 = 0$. However, there exist $C_1 > 0, C_2$ absolute, such that (2) is satisfied for all $x \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and all $y \in (0,1)$.

We believe that we could extend our results to any even k. Therefore, we formulate the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ be even. We have the following.*

(i) *Neither F_k nor G_k is differentiable at any $x \in \mathbb{Q}$; however, G_k is right and left differentiable at each $x \in \mathbb{Q}$.*
(ii) *The function G_k is differentiable at any $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.*
(iii) *The function F_k is differentiable at $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log q_{n+1}(x)}{q_n(x)^k} < \infty. \tag{3}$$

In order to prove Conjecture 1 for $k \geq 4$, we would proceed as in the case $k = 2$. There are a lot of terms to analyse, but we believe that for any given $k \geq 4$ this method would work (adding a technical condition similar to $(*)$ of the type $\frac{\log(q_{n+4})}{q_n^k} \to 0$). However the calculations become very long, and we do not do it explicitly. We present arguments justifying the conjecture.

Remark 1. In 1988, Yoccoz studied the function defined by

$$B_1(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} xT(x)T^2(x)...T^{n-1}(x) \log\left(\frac{1}{T^n(x)}\right),$$

now called Brjuno function, see [Yoc88, MMY97]. This series converges if and only if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(q_{n+1}(x))}{q_n(x)} < \infty.$$

This condition is called Brjuno condition and was introduced by Brjuno in the study of certain problems in dynamical systems see [Brj71, Brj72]. The points of convergence are called Brjuno numbers. The Brjuno function satisfies a functional equation $B_1(x) = -\log(x) + xB_1\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$ on $(0,1)$. Marmi, Moussa and Yoccoz studied a generalised version of Brjuno function, namely they define a linear operator $T_\alpha f(x) = x^\alpha f\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$ and then consider the equation $(1 - T^\alpha)B_f = f$ such that $B_f(x+1) = B_f(x)$, see [MMY97, MMY06]. The "kth-Brjuno condition" in (3) corresponds to studying this equation with $\alpha = k$ and $f(x) = -\log(x)$.

Another method of analysing series of the type of F_k and G_k involves wavelet methods, and was proposed by Jaffard, in the study of the Riemann "non-differentiable" function, in [Jaf96]. Studying Hölder regularity exponent of F_k and G_k using this method enables to prove some cases of Conjecture 1. For each n, we define κ_n by the equality $\left|x - \frac{p_n}{q_n}\right| = \frac{1}{q_n^{\kappa_n}}$, and we let $\mu(x) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \kappa_n, \nu(x) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \kappa_n$. It has been proved in [Pet13] that for $k \geq 4$ and $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, if $\frac{1}{\nu(x)} - \frac{1}{\mu(x)} < \frac{1}{k}$, then the Hölder regularity exponents of F_k

and G_k at x are both $1+\frac{k}{\mu(x)}$. If $\mu(x)<\infty$, then we conclude that both F_k and G_k are differentiable at x. The condition $\mu(x)<\infty$ implies (3), and we see that one direction of Conjecture 1 (iii) is true. It is also worth noting that for almost all x, $\mu(x)=\nu(x)=2$, and therefore the conjecture is proved for almost all x for all $k\geq 4$.

The differentiability of G_k could be also studied using the connection to $((y))$ map. Let $((y))=\{y\}-\frac{1}{2}$, its Fourier series is $((y))=-\frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sin(2\pi my)}{m}$. Let $L_k(x)=2\pi^2\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\frac{((rx))}{r^k}$. This function converges uniformly on $\mathbb{R}$, and it is integrable. Then for $x\in\mathbb{R}$ we have

$$G_k(x)=\int_0^x L_k(t)dt+\zeta(2)\zeta(k+1).$$

We could then study the differentiability of $\int_0^x L_k(t)dt$. This approach was suggested to the author by Don Zagier.

The content of this paper was a part of the PhD thesis [Pet14b] conducted at Université Joseph Fourier in Grenoble and defended in September 2014.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1. Then, in Section 3 we prove Theorem 2. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 3. Finally, in Section 5 we give indications behind Conjecture 1.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

2.1. **Preliminaries.** In order to prove Theorem 1 we will proceed as Itatsu in [Ita81]. Consider a complex valued function

$$\varphi_2(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n^3}e^{2\pi int},$$

whose imaginary part is F_2 and real part is G_2. For a matrix $\gamma=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a & b\\ c & d\end{smallmatrix}\right)\in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $z\in\mathbb{C}$, we will denote the fraction transformation by

$$\gamma\cdot z=\frac{az+b}{cz+d},$$

if $cz+d\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$, and $\gamma\cdot\left(-\frac{d}{c}\right)=\infty$. For any $\gamma=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a & b\\ c & d\end{smallmatrix}\right)\in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ the Eisenstein series E_2 satisfies

$$E_2(z)=\frac{E_2(\gamma\cdot z)}{(cz+d)^2}-\frac{6}{i\pi}\frac{c}{(cz+d)}. \tag{4}$$

Based on this equation, we will find a functional equation for φ_2.

2.2. **Functional equation for φ_2.** We use the convention that $0\cdot\infty=0$ and throughout the paper we will work with the principal branch $-\pi<\arg(z)\leq\pi$ of $z\in\mathbb{C}$. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *Let* $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ *with* $c \neq 0$ *and* $x \in \mathbb{R}$. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_2(x) = (cx+d)^4\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot x) - \frac{i\pi^3}{3c^3}(cx+d)\mathrm{Log}(cx+d) + P_{-\frac{d}{c}}(x)\\ - \frac{\pi^2}{c^2}(cx+d)^2\mathrm{Log}(cx+d) + 6\int_{-\frac{d}{c}}^{x} c(ct+d)^2(c(x-t)-(ct+d))\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot t)dt,\end{aligned}$$

where Log *denotes the principal value of the complex logarithm and* $P_{-\frac{d}{c}}(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ *is a polynomial of degree less than or equal to 3 that depends on* c *and* d.

The proof of Proposition 1 is very technical, therefore we will split the calculations into various lemmas and claims. Firstly, we note that φ_2 is differentiable in the upper-half plane, thus we have the following.

Claim 1. Let $z \in \mathbb{H}$. We have

$$\varphi_2'(z) = 2i\pi\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n^2}e^{2i\pi nz}, \tag{5}$$

$$\varphi_2''(z) = -4\pi^2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n}e^{2i\pi nz}, \tag{6}$$

$$\varphi_2'''(z) = -8i\pi^3\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sigma_1(n)e^{2i\pi nz} = \frac{i\pi^3}{3}E_2(z) - \frac{i\pi^3}{3}. \tag{7}$$

We then find a functional equation for $\varphi_2''(z)$, which will be useful later.

Lemma 1. *Let* $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ *with* $c \neq 0$ *and* $\tau, \alpha \in \mathbb{H}$. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_2''(\tau) = \varphi_2''(\gamma\cdot\tau) - \varphi_2''(\gamma\cdot\alpha) - \frac{i\pi^3}{3c(c\tau+d)} + \frac{i\pi^3}{3c(c\alpha+d)}\\ - 2\pi^2\mathrm{Log}(c\tau+d) + 2\pi^2\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d) + \varphi_2''(\alpha) - \frac{i\pi^3}{3}\tau + \frac{i\pi^3}{3}\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $c \neq 0$ and $\tau, \alpha \in \mathbb{H}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_2''(\tau) =& -4\pi^2\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n}e^{2i\pi nt}\right) = \frac{i\pi^3}{3}\int_{i\infty}^{\tau}(E_2(t)-1)dt && \text{by (6)}\\ =& \frac{i\pi^3}{3}\int_{i\infty}^{\alpha}(E_2(t)-1)dt + \frac{i\pi^3}{3}\int_{\alpha}^{\tau}(E_2(t)-1)dt\\ =& \varphi_2''(\alpha) + \frac{i\pi^3}{3}\int_{\alpha}^{\tau}\left(\frac{E_2(\gamma\cdot t)}{(ct+d)^2} - \frac{6}{i\pi}\frac{c}{(ct+d)}\right)dt - \frac{i\pi^3}{3}\tau + \frac{i\pi^3}{3}\alpha && \text{by (4)}\\ =& \varphi_2''(\alpha) + \varphi_2''(\gamma\cdot\tau) - \varphi_2''(\gamma\cdot\alpha) - \frac{i\pi^3}{3c(c\tau+d)} + \frac{i\pi^3}{3c(c\alpha+d)}\end{aligned}$$

$$- 2\pi^2 \mathrm{Log}(c\tau + d) + 2\pi^2 \mathrm{Log}(c\alpha + d) - \frac{i\pi^3}{3}\tau + \frac{i\pi^3}{3}\alpha,$$

where Log denotes the principal value of the complex logarithm. □

For $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $c \neq 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{H}$ define

$$f_\gamma(z) = \varphi_2''(z) - \varphi_2''(\gamma \cdot z) + \frac{i\pi^3}{3c(cz+d)} + 2\pi^2 \mathrm{Log}(cz + d) + \frac{i\pi^3}{3} z. \tag{8}$$

The next claim shows that f_γ depends only on c and d.

Claim 2. For each $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ the function f_γ is constant on $\mathbb{H}$. Moreover, if $\gamma_1 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right), \gamma_2 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, then $f_{\gamma_1} = f_{\gamma_2}$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1 that $f_\gamma(\tau) = f_\gamma(\alpha)$ for all $\tau, \alpha \in \mathbb{H}$, hence it must be a constant function on $\mathbb{H}$. Let $f_\gamma(z) = f_\gamma$ for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$. For the second part, let $\gamma_1 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right), \gamma_2 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Observe that the Lemma 1 implies that

$$\varphi_2''(\gamma_1 \cdot z) - \varphi_2''(\gamma_2 \cdot z) = f_{\gamma_1} - f_{\gamma_2},$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$. Since f_γ does not depend on z, we have

$$\lim_{\substack{z \to -\frac{d}{c} \\ \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0}} |\varphi_2''(\gamma_1 \cdot z) - \varphi_2''(\gamma_2 \cdot z)| = |f_{\gamma_1} - f_{\gamma_2}|.$$

Writing $z = x + iy$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varphi_2''(\gamma_1 \cdot z) - \varphi_2''(\gamma_2 \cdot z)| &= 4\pi^2 \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n} e^{2i\pi n \gamma_1 \cdot z} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n} e^{2i\pi n \gamma_2 \cdot z} \right| \\
&= 4\pi^2 \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n} \left(e^{2i\pi n \frac{a_1 x + b_1 + i a_1 y}{cx + d + icy}} - e^{2i\pi n \frac{a_2 x + b_2 + i a_1 y}{cx + d + icy}} \right) \right| \\
&\leq 4\pi^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n} e^{-\frac{2\pi n y}{(cx+d)^2 + (cy)^2}} \left| e^{2i\pi n \frac{a_1 c^2 + b_1 cx + a_1 dx + b_1 d + a_1 cy}{(cx+d)^2 + (cy)^2}} - e^{2i\pi n \frac{a_2 c^2 + b_2 cx + a_2 dx + b_2 d + a_1 cy}{(cx+d)^2 + (cy)^2}} \right| \\
&\leq 8\pi^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n} e^{-\frac{2\pi n y}{(cx+d)^2 + (cy)^2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Since $x \to -\frac{d}{c}$ and $y \to 0^+$, as $z \to -\frac{d}{c}$, we conclude from (9) that $|\varphi_2''(\gamma_1 \cdot z) - \varphi_2''(\gamma_2 \cdot z)| \to 0$ as $z \to -\frac{d}{c}$. This shows that $f_{\gamma_1} = f_{\gamma_2}$. □

We will now find a functional equation for φ_2'.

Lemma 2. *Let $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $c \neq 0$ and $\tau, \alpha \in \mathbb{H}$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_2'(\tau) = (c\tau + d)^2 \varphi_2'(\gamma \cdot \tau) - 2c(c\tau + d)^3 \varphi_2(\gamma \cdot \tau) + 6c^2 \int_\alpha^\tau (ct + d)^2 \varphi_2(\gamma \cdot t) dt \\
- \frac{i\pi^3}{3c^2} \mathrm{Log}(c\tau + d) - 2\pi^2 \frac{(c\tau + d)}{c} \mathrm{Log}(c\tau + d) + Q_{\gamma,\alpha}(\tau),
\end{aligned}$$

where $Q_{\gamma,\alpha}(\tau) \in \mathbb{C}[\tau]$ of degree less than or equal to 2 depending on γ and α.

Proof. Let $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $c \neq 0$ and $\tau, \alpha \in \mathbb{H}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_2'(\tau) =& \frac{i\pi^3}{3}\int_{i\infty}^{\alpha}(\tau - t)(E_2(t) - 1)dt + \frac{i\pi^3}{3}\int_{\alpha}^{\tau}(\tau - t)E_2(t)dt - \frac{i\pi^3}{3}\int_{\alpha}^{\tau}(\tau - t)dt \qquad \text{by (5)}\\ =&(\tau - \alpha)\varphi_2''(\alpha) + \varphi_2'(\alpha) + \frac{i\pi^3}{3}\int_{\alpha}^{\tau}(\tau - t)E_2(t)dt - \frac{i\pi^3}{6}\tau^2 + \frac{i\pi^3}{3}\alpha\tau - \frac{i\pi^3}{6}\alpha^2. \qquad (10)\end{aligned}$$

We apply the relationship (4) and we integrate the remaining integral:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\alpha}^{\tau}(\tau - t)E_2(t)dt = \int_{\alpha}^{\tau}(\tau - t)\Big(\frac{1}{(ct+d)^2}E_2(\gamma\cdot t) - \frac{6c}{i\pi(ct+d)}\Big)dt\\ &=\int_{\alpha}^{\tau}\frac{(\tau - t)}{(ct+d)^2}E_2(\gamma\cdot t)dt - \frac{6}{i\pi}\Big(\frac{(c\tau+d)}{c}\mathrm{Log}(c\tau+d) - \frac{(c\tau+d)}{c}\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d) - \tau + \alpha\Big)\\ &=\frac{3}{i\pi^3}\int_{\alpha}^{\tau}\frac{(\tau - t)}{(ct+d)^2}\varphi_2'''(\gamma\cdot t)dt - \frac{1}{c^2}\mathrm{Log}(c\tau+d) + \frac{1}{c^2}\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d) + \frac{(c\tau+d)}{c^2(c\alpha+d)} - \frac{1}{c^2}\\ &\quad - \frac{6}{i\pi}\Big(\frac{(c\tau+d)}{c}\mathrm{Log}(c\tau+d) - \frac{(c\tau+d)}{c}\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d) - \tau + \alpha\Big) \qquad \text{by (5)}\\ &=\frac{3}{i\pi^3}\Big(-(\tau-\alpha)\varphi_2''(\gamma\cdot\alpha) + (c\tau+d)^2\varphi_2'(\gamma\cdot\tau) - (c\alpha+d)^2\varphi_2'(\gamma\cdot\alpha)\\ &\quad - 2c(c\tau+d)^3\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot\tau) + 3c(c\alpha+d)^3\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot\alpha) + 6c^2\int_{\alpha}^{\tau}(ct+d)^2\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot t)dt\Big)\\ &\quad - \frac{1}{c^2}\mathrm{Log}(c\tau+d) + \frac{1}{c^2}\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d) + \frac{(c\tau+d)}{c^2(c\alpha+d)} - \frac{1}{c^2}\\ &\quad - \frac{6}{i\pi}\Big(\frac{(c\tau+d)}{c}\mathrm{Log}(c\tau+d) - \frac{(c\tau+d)}{c}\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d) - \tau + \alpha\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Substituting it into (10) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_2'(\tau) = (c\tau+d)^2\varphi_2'(\gamma\cdot\tau) - 2c(c\tau+d)^3\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot\tau) + 6c^2\int_{\alpha}^{\tau}(ct+d)^2\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot t)dt\\ - \frac{i\pi^3}{3c^2}\mathrm{Log}(c\tau+d) - 2\pi^2\frac{(c\tau+d)}{c}\mathrm{Log}(c\tau+d) + Q_{\gamma,\alpha}(\tau),\end{aligned}$$

where $Q_{\gamma,\alpha}(\tau) = B'\tau^2 + C'\tau + D'$, with

$$\begin{aligned}B' =& -\frac{i\pi^3}{6}\\ C' =&\varphi_2''(\alpha) - \varphi_2''(\gamma\cdot\alpha) + \frac{i\pi^3}{3c(c\alpha+d)} + 2\pi^2\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d) + 2\pi^2 + \frac{i\pi^3}{3}\alpha\\ =&f_{\gamma} + 2\pi^2 \qquad \text{by Lemma 1}\\ D' =& -\alpha(\varphi_2''(\alpha) - \varphi_2''(\gamma\cdot\alpha)) + \varphi_2'(\alpha) - (c\alpha+d)^2\varphi_2'(\gamma\cdot\alpha) + 2c(c\alpha+d)^3\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot\alpha)\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{i\pi^3}{3c^2}\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d)+2\pi^2\frac{d}{c}\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d)+\frac{i\pi^3}{3}\frac{d}{c^2(c\alpha+d)}\\
&-\frac{i\pi^3}{3c^2}-2\pi^2\alpha-\frac{i\pi^3}{6}\alpha^2\\
=&-\alpha f_\gamma+\varphi_2'(\alpha)-(c\alpha+d)^2\varphi_2'(\gamma\cdot\alpha)+2c(c\alpha+d)^3\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot\alpha)\\
&+\frac{i\pi^3}{3c^2}\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d)+(c\alpha+d)\frac{2\pi^2}{c}\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d)-2\pi^2\alpha+\frac{i\pi^3}{6}\alpha^2 \quad \text{by Lemma 1.}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

For $\gamma=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a & b\\ c & d\end{smallmatrix}\right)\in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $c\neq 0$ and $\rho,z\in\mathbb{H}$ define $g_\gamma(z,\rho)=-zf_\gamma+\varphi_2'(z)-(cz+d)^2\varphi_2'(\gamma\cdot z)+2c(ct+d)^3\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot z)+\frac{i\pi^3}{3c^2}\mathrm{Log}(cz+d)+(cz+d)\frac{2\pi^2}{c}\mathrm{Log}(cz+d)-2\pi^2 z+\frac{i\pi^3}{6}z^2-6c^2\int_\rho^z(ct+d)^2\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot t)dt$. The next claim shows that g_γ depends only on ρ and γ.

Claim 3. For each $\gamma\in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, for all $\rho\in\mathbb{H}$ we have $g_\gamma(z,\rho)=g_\gamma(w,\rho)$ for all $z,w\in\mathbb{H}$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2. □

For all $z\in\mathbb{H}$ write $g_\gamma(z,\rho)=g_\gamma(\rho)$. We note that Lemma 2 implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_2'(\alpha)-(c\alpha+d)^2\varphi_2'(\gamma\cdot\alpha)=g_\gamma(\alpha)+\alpha f_\gamma-2c(c\alpha+d)^3\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot\alpha)-\frac{i\pi^3}{3c^2}\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d)\\
-(c\alpha+d)\frac{2\pi^2}{c}\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d)+2\pi^2\alpha-\frac{i\pi^3}{6}\alpha^2. \quad (11)
\end{aligned}
$$

We can now prove Proposition 1.

Proof of Proposition 1. Fix $\alpha\in\mathbb{H}$ and $\gamma=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a & b\\ c & d\end{smallmatrix}\right)\in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $c\neq 0$, let $\tau\in\mathbb{H}$. Integrating by parts we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_2(\tau)=&\frac{i\pi^3}{6}\int_{i\infty}^{\tau}(\tau-t)^2(E_2(t)-1)dt\\
=&\frac{i\pi^3}{6}\int_{\alpha}^{\tau}(\tau-t)^2E_2(t)dt-\frac{i\pi^3}{6}\int_{\alpha}^{\tau}(\tau-t)^2dt+\frac{i\pi^3}{6}\int_{i\infty}^{\alpha}(\tau-t)^2(E_2(t)-1)dt\\
=&\frac{i\pi^3}{6}\int_{\alpha}^{\tau}(\tau-t)^2E_2(t)dt+\frac{i\pi^3(\alpha-\tau)^3}{18}+\frac{(\tau-\alpha)^2}{2}\varphi_2''(\alpha)+(\tau-\alpha)\varphi_2'(\alpha)+\varphi_2(\alpha).
\end{aligned}
\quad (12)
$$

We apply (4) to the first term, we then obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{i\pi^3}{6}\int_{\alpha}^{\tau}(\tau-t)^2E_2(t)dt=&\frac{i\pi^3}{6}\int_{\alpha}^{\tau}(\tau-t)^2\left(\frac{1}{(ct+d)^2}E_2(\gamma\cdot t)-\frac{6c}{i\pi(ct+d)}\right)dt\\
=&\frac{i\pi^3}{6}\int_{\alpha}^{\tau}\frac{(\tau-t)^2}{(ct+d)^2}E_2(\gamma\cdot t)dt-\frac{\pi^2}{c^2}\Big((c\tau+d)^2\mathrm{Log}(c\tau+d)-(c\tau+d)^2\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d)\\
&-2(c\tau+d)^2+2(c\tau+d)(c\alpha+d)+\frac{(c\tau+d)^2}{2}-\frac{(c\alpha+d)^2}{2}\Big). \quad (13)
\end{aligned}
$$

By (7), using the substitution $u = \gamma \cdot t$ and integrating by parts we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{i\pi^3}{6}\int_\alpha^\tau \frac{(\tau-t)^2}{(ct+d)^2}E_2(\gamma\cdot t)dt = \frac{1}{2}\int_\alpha^\tau \frac{(\tau-t)^2}{(ct+d)^2}\varphi_2'''(\gamma\cdot t)dt + \frac{i\pi^3}{6}\int_\alpha^\tau \frac{(\tau-t)^2}{(ct+d)^2}dt\\
&=\int_\alpha^\tau (\tau-t)\varphi_2''(\gamma\cdot t)dt - \frac{1}{2}(\tau-\alpha)^2\varphi_2''(\gamma\cdot\alpha) - \frac{i\pi^3}{3c^3}(c\tau+d)\mathrm{Log}(c\tau+d)\\
&\quad+\frac{i\pi^3}{6c^3}\Big(\frac{(c\tau+d)^2}{(c\alpha+d)} + 2(c\tau+d)\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d) - (c\alpha+d)\Big)\\
&=-\int_\alpha^\tau (ct+d)(2c\tau-3ct-d)\varphi_2'(\gamma\cdot t)dt - (c\alpha+d)^2(\tau-\alpha)\varphi_2'(\gamma\cdot\alpha)\\
&\quad-\frac{(\tau-\alpha)^2}{2}\varphi_2''(\gamma\cdot\alpha) - \frac{i\pi^3}{3c^3}(c\tau+d)\mathrm{Log}(c\tau+d)\\
&\quad+\frac{i\pi^3}{6c^3}\Big(\frac{(c\tau+d)^2}{(c\alpha+d)} + 2(c\tau+d)\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d) - (c\alpha+d)\Big)\\
&=(c\tau+d)^4\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot\tau) + 2(c\tau+d)(c\alpha+d)^3\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot\alpha) - 3(c\alpha+d)^4\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot\alpha)\\
&\quad+\int_\alpha^\tau c(ct+d)^2(6c(\tau-t)-6(ct+d))\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot t)dt - (c\alpha+d)^2(\tau-\alpha)\varphi_2'(\gamma\cdot\alpha)\\
&\quad-\frac{(\tau-\alpha)^2}{2}\varphi_2''(\gamma\cdot\alpha) - \frac{i\pi^3}{3c^3}(c\tau+d)\mathrm{Log}(c\tau+d)\\
&\quad+\frac{i\pi^3}{6c^3}\Big(\frac{(c\tau+d)^2}{(c\alpha+d)} + 2(c\tau+d)\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d) - (c\alpha+d)\Big). \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (13) and (14) into (12) and gathering the terms we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_2(\tau) = (c\tau+d)^4\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot\tau) - \frac{i\pi^3}{3c^3}(c\tau+d)\mathrm{Log}(c\tau+d) + P_{\alpha,\gamma}(\tau)\\
- \frac{\pi^2}{c^2}(c\tau+d)^2\mathrm{Log}(c\tau+d) + 6\int_\alpha^\tau c(ct+d)^2(c(\tau-t)-(ct+d))\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot t)dt,
\end{aligned}$$

with $P_{\alpha,\gamma}(\tau) = A\tau^3 + B\tau^2 + C\tau + D$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
A =& -\frac{i\pi^3}{18}\\
B =& \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_2''(\alpha) - \varphi_2''(\gamma\cdot\alpha)) + \frac{i\pi^3}{6}\alpha + \pi^2\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d) + \frac{3\pi^2}{2} + \frac{i\pi^3}{6c(c\alpha+d)}\\
=& \frac{1}{2}f_\gamma + \frac{3\pi^2}{2} \qquad \text{by Lemma 1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C =& -\alpha(\varphi_2''(\alpha) - \varphi_2''(\gamma\cdot\alpha)) + \varphi_2'(\alpha) - (c\alpha+d)^2\varphi_2'(\gamma\cdot\alpha) + 2c(c\alpha+d)^3\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot\alpha) + \frac{3\pi^2 d}{c}\\
&+\frac{2\pi^2 d}{c}\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d) + \frac{i\pi^3}{3c^2}\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d) - \frac{i\pi^3}{6}\alpha^2 - \frac{2\pi^2}{c}(c\alpha+d) + \frac{i\pi^3 d}{3c^2(c\alpha+d)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= H_\gamma(\alpha) + \frac{i\pi^3}{3c^2} + \frac{\pi^2 d}{c} && \text{by Lemma 1 and (11)}\\
D =& \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2(\varphi_2''(\alpha) - \varphi_2''(\gamma\cdot\alpha)) - \alpha(\varphi_2'(\alpha) - (c\alpha+d)^2\varphi_2'(\gamma\cdot\alpha)) - (c\alpha+d)^4\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot\alpha)\\
&- 2c(c\alpha+d)^3\alpha\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot\alpha)) + \varphi_2(\alpha) + \frac{\pi^2 d^2}{c^2}\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d) + \frac{i\pi^3 d}{3c^3}\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d)\\
&+ \frac{i\pi^3}{18}\alpha^3 + \frac{3\pi^2 d^2}{2c^2} - \frac{2\pi^2 d}{c^2}(c\alpha+d) + \frac{\pi^2}{2c^2}(c\alpha+d)^2 + \frac{i\pi^3 d^2}{6c^3(c\alpha+d)} - \frac{i\pi^3}{6c^3}(c\alpha+d)\\
=& -\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 f_\gamma - \alpha g_\gamma(\alpha) - (c\alpha+d)^4\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot\alpha) + \varphi_2(\alpha) + (c\alpha+d)\frac{i\pi^3}{3c^3}\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d)\\
&+ (c\alpha+d)^2\frac{\pi^2}{c^2}\mathrm{Log}(c\alpha+d) - 2\pi^2\alpha^2 + \frac{i\pi^3}{18}\alpha^3 + \frac{3\pi^2 d^2}{2c^2} - \frac{2\pi^2 d}{c^2}(c\alpha+d)\\
&+ \frac{\pi^2}{2c^2}(c\alpha+d)^2 - \alpha\frac{i\pi^3}{3c^2}. && \text{by Lemma 1 and (11)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we observe that if we let $\alpha \to -\frac{d}{c}$, then A, B, C, D are well defined. Moreover, since $D = \varphi_2(-\frac{d}{c})$ we have $g_\gamma\left(-\frac{d}{c}\right) = \frac{\pi^2 d}{2c} + \frac{i\pi^3 d^2}{18c^2} - \frac{i\pi^3}{3c^2} + \frac{d}{2c}f_\gamma$. Therefore, we obtain $A = -\frac{i\pi^3}{18}, B = \frac{1}{2}f_\gamma + \frac{3\pi^2}{2}, C = \frac{d}{2c}f_\gamma + \frac{3\pi^2 d}{2c} + \frac{i\pi^3 d^2}{18c^2}, D = \varphi_2\left(-\frac{d}{c}\right)$. By Claim 2, we deduce that the polynomial $P_{-\frac{d}{c},\gamma}$ depends only on d and c. Write $P_{-\frac{d}{c},\gamma} = P_{-\frac{d}{c}}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_2(\tau) = (c\tau+d)^4\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot\tau) - \frac{i\pi^3}{3c^3}(c\tau+d)\mathrm{Log}(c\tau+d) + P_{-\frac{d}{c}}(\tau)\\
- \frac{\pi^2}{c^2}(c\tau+d)^2\mathrm{Log}(c\tau+d) + 6\int_{-\frac{d}{c}}^{\tau} c(ct+d)^2(c(\tau-t)-(ct+d))\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot t)dt.
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\tau \to x \in \mathbb{R}$ gives the result. □

2.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.** Before we start proving Theorem 1, we rewrite the polynomial $P_{-\frac{d}{c}}$ as $P_{-\frac{d}{c}}(x) = \tilde{A}(cx+d)^3 + \tilde{B}(cx+d)^2 + \tilde{C}(cx+d) + \tilde{D}$ with

$$
\tilde{A} = -\frac{i\pi^3}{18c^3};\ \tilde{B} = \frac{f_\gamma}{2c^2} + \frac{3\pi^2}{2c^2} + \frac{i\pi^3 d}{6c^3};\ \tilde{C} = -\frac{d}{2c^2}f_\gamma + \frac{3\pi^2 d}{2c^2} - \frac{d^2 i\pi^3}{9c^3};\ \tilde{D} = \varphi_2\left(-\frac{d}{c}\right).
$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$, p, q coprime, if $x = 0$, then let $q = 1$, $p = 0$. By Bézout's identity, we can choose $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ q & -p \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. By Proposition 1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_2(x) = (qx-p)^4\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot x) - \frac{i\pi^3}{3q^3}(qx-p)\mathrm{Log}(qx-p) + P_{\frac{p}{q}}(x)\\
- \frac{\pi^2}{q^2}(qx-p)^2\mathrm{Log}(qx-p) + 6\int_{\frac{p}{q}}^{x} q(qt-p)^2(q(x-t)-(qt-p))\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot t)dt.
\end{aligned}
$$

We observe that since $\varphi_2(x)$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\left|6\int_{\frac{p}{q}}^{x} q(qt-p)^2(q(x-t)-(qt-p))\varphi_2(\gamma\cdot t)dt\right| \\ \leq c_1\left|\int_{\frac{p}{q}}^{x}(qt-p)^2(q(x-t)-(qt-p))dt\right| \leq c_2(qx-p)^4,$$

for some constants c_1, c_2.

As $x \to \frac{p}{q}^+$, Log becomes the natural logarithm log, and we have

$$\varphi_2(x) = \varphi_2\Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big) - \frac{i\pi^3}{3q^3}(qx-p)\log(qx-p) + \tilde{C}(qx-p) + O((qx-p)^2\log(qx-p)). \quad (15)$$

Taking the imaginary part of the both sides of Equation (15) shows that F_2 is not differentiable at $\frac{p}{q}$. On the other hand, taking the real part of the both sides of Equation (15) shows that G_2 is right-differentiable at $\frac{p}{q}$, and the value of the derivative at $\frac{p}{q}$ is $q\mathrm{Re}(\tilde{C})$.

As $x \to \frac{p}{q}^-$, we have

$$\varphi_2(x) = \varphi_2\Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big) - \frac{i\pi^3}{3q^3}(qx-p)\log(|qx-p|) + \Big(\tilde{C} + \frac{\pi^4}{3q^3}\Big)(qx-p) \\ + O((qx-p)^2\log(|qx-p|)). \quad (16)$$

Taking the real part of the both sides of Equation (16) shows that G_2 is left-differentiable at $\frac{p}{q}$. The value of the derivative at $\frac{p}{q}$ is $q\mathrm{Re}(\tilde{C}) + \frac{\pi^4}{3q^2}$. In particular, G_2 is not differentiable at $\frac{p}{q}$. At each rational $\frac{p}{q}$, if we denote the left and the right derivative of G_2 at $\frac{p}{q}$ by $G_2'\left(\frac{p}{q}^-\right), G_2'\left(\frac{p}{q}^+\right)$ respectively, we have $G_2'\left(\frac{p}{q}^-\right) - G_2'\left(\frac{p}{q}^+\right) = \frac{\pi^4}{3q^2}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

3. Proof of Theorem 2

3.1. **Properties of continued fractions.** In this section, we will sum up important facts about continued fractions, which we will later use proving Theorem 2. For the introduction to continued fractions see a classical textbook by Hardy and Wright [HW60]. If not otherwise stated, we will write $a_n = a_n(x)$ and $p_n = p_n(x)$, $q_n = q_n(x)$.

Proposition 2. *Let $x \in (0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We have:*

1. $\mathrm{Fib}_{k+1} \leq q_k$, *where* Fib_j *is the jth Fibonacci number;*
2. $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{q_j} < \infty$;
3. $\sum_{j=0}^{k} q_j \leq 3q_k$;
4. $\frac{q_k}{2q_{k+1}} \leq T^k(x) \leq \frac{2q_k}{q_{k+1}}$.

Proof. These properties can be deduced from the definitions, see for example [Khi64] and [BM12]. □

Let $\beta_k(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{k} T^j(x)$ for $k \geq 0$, and $\beta_{-1}(x) = 1$. Let $\gamma_k(x) = \beta_{k-1}(x)\log(\frac{1}{T^k(x)})$, for $k \geq 0$. Note that for all k and for all x,

$$0 \leq \beta_k(x) \leq 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq \gamma_k(x).$$

We state the important facts about $\beta_k(x)$ and $\gamma_k(x)$.

Proposition 3. *Let $x \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. We have:*

(1) $\frac{1}{2q_{k+1}} \leq \frac{1}{q_k+q_{k+1}} \leq \beta_k(x) \leq \frac{1}{q_{k+1}}$, *for all $k \geq -1$;*
(2) $\frac{\log(q_{k+1})}{q_k} - \frac{\log(2q_k)}{q_k} \leq \gamma_k(x) \leq \frac{\log(q_{k+1})}{q_k} + \frac{\log(2)}{q_k}$, *for all $k \geq 0$;*
(3) $\beta_k(x) = \frac{1}{q_{k+1}+T^{k+1}(x)q_k}$, *for all $k \geq -1$.*

Proof. It follows from the definitions, see [BM12, Section 3]. □

For the purpose of this paper, we will call the open interval defined by the endpoints $[0; b_1, b_2, ..., b_k]$ and $[b_1, b_2, ..., b_k + 1]$ a basic interval on the kth level $I(b_1, b_2, ..., b_k)$. The order depends on the parity of k. We will write $I_k(x)$ for the basic interval on the kth level that contains x. For all $x \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists exactly one basic interval on the kth level that contains x. We will now summarise some observations concerning the basic intervals.

Proposition 4. *Let $x \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Then we have*

(1) *the functions $T^i(x)$, $\beta_i(x)$, $\log(T^i(x))$, $\gamma_i(x)$ are continuous and differentiable on $I_k(x)$ for all $i \leq k$;*
(2) *for all $x \in I_k(x)$*
   (a) $T^k(x) = \frac{q_k x - p_k}{-q_{k-1}x + p_{k-1}}$;
   (b) $\beta_k(x) = (-1)^{k-1}(p_k - q_k x)$;
   (c) $\beta_{k-1}(x) = \frac{1}{q_k}(1 - q_{k-1}\beta_k(x))$;
   (d) $(T^k(x))' = \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta_{k-1}(x)^2}$.

Proof. This follows from the definitions. See [BM12, Section 5.5] and [Riv12, Section 1.1]. □

We can relate $\beta_k(x)$ to q_k using the following claim.

Claim 4. We have $(-1)^k\beta_k(x)\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2} = q_k$, for all x and all k.

Proof. We proceed by induction. If $k = 0$, then

$$(-1)^0\beta_0(x)\sum_{j=0}^{0}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2} = \beta_0(x)\frac{1}{\beta_0(x)\beta_{-1}(x)} = 1 = q_0,$$

by convention. Assume $(-1)^{k-1}\beta_{k-1}(x)\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2} = q_{k-1}$. By Proposition 4 2.(c) we have

$$(-1)^k\beta_k(x)\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2} = (-1)^k\frac{1 - q_k\beta_{k-1}}{q_{k-1}}\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2} + \frac{(-1)^k\beta_k(x)(-1)^k}{\beta_k(x)\beta_{k-1}(x)}$$

$$= (-1)^k \frac{1 - q_k \beta_{k-1}}{q_{k-1}} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{q_{k-1}}{\beta_{k-1}(x)} + \frac{1}{\beta_{k-1}(x)}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{\beta_{k-1}(x)} + q_k + \frac{1}{\beta_{k-1}(x)} = q_k.$$

This completes the proof of the claim. □

3.2. **Functional equations for F_2 and G_2.** We have the following proposition.

Proposition 5. *Let $x \in (0,1)$. We have*

$$F_2(x) = -x^4 F_2(T(x)) - \frac{\pi^3}{3} x \log(x) + P(x) - 6 \int_0^x t^2 (x - 2t) F_2(T(x)) dt, \tag{17}$$

$$G_2(x) = x^4 G_2(T(x)) - \pi^2 x^2 \log(x) + Q(x) + 6 \int_0^x t^2 (x - 2t) G_2(T(x)) dt, \tag{18}$$

where $P(x), Q(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ are polynomials of degree less than or equal to 3.

Proof. Let $x \in (0,1)$. We apply Proposition 1 with $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Since $x > 0$, $\mathrm{Log}(x)$ becomes the natural logarithm $\log(x)$, and we obtain

$$\varphi_2(x) = x^4 \varphi_2\Big(-\frac{1}{x}\Big) - \frac{i\pi^3}{3} x \log(x) + P_0(x) \\ - \pi^2 x^2 \log(x) + 6 \int_0^x t^2 (x - 2t) \varphi_2\Big(-\frac{1}{x}\Big) dt, \tag{19}$$

where $P_0(x) = -\frac{i\pi^3}{18} x^3 + \left(\frac{f_\gamma}{2} + \frac{3\pi^2}{2}\right) x^2 + \varphi_2(0)$ with $f_\gamma(z) = 2i\pi^3$ obtained by evaluating (8) at $z = i$. We take imaginary and real parts of Equation (19) respectively and we get

$$F_2(x) = x^4 F_2\Big(-\frac{1}{x}\Big) - \frac{\pi^3}{3} x \log(x) + \mathrm{Im}(P_0)(x) + 6 \int_0^x t^2 (x - 2t) F_2\Big(-\frac{1}{x}\Big) dt,$$
$$G_2(x) = x^4 G_2\Big(-\frac{1}{x}\Big) + \mathrm{Re}(P_0)(x) - \pi^2 x^2 \log(x) + 6 \int_0^x t^2 (x - 2t) G_2\Big(-\frac{1}{x}\Big) dt.$$

Write $P = \mathrm{Im}(P_0)$, $Q = \mathrm{Re}(P_0)$. We conclude by observing that since F_2 is odd and G_2 is even, and they are both 1-periodic we have that $F_2\big(-\frac{1}{x}\big) = -F_2\big(\frac{1}{x}\big) = -F_2(T(x))$ and $G_2\big(-\frac{1}{x}\big) = G_2\big(\frac{1}{x}\big) = G_2(T(x))$. □

We iterate Equations (17) and (18) to obtain:

Corollary 1. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $x \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ we have:*

$$F_2(x) = (-1)^n F_2(T^n(x)) \beta_{n-1}(x)^4 + \frac{\pi^3}{3} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \beta_{k-1}(x)^2 \beta_k(x) \gamma_k(x) \\ + \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k P(T^k(x)) \beta_{k-1}(x)^4$$

$$+ 6\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k+1}\beta_{k-1}(x)^4 \int_0^{T^k(x)} t^2(T^k(x)-2t)F_2(T(t))dt, \tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_2(x) =& G_2(T^n(x))\beta_{n-1}(x)^4 + \pi^2\sum_{k=0}^{n}\beta_{k-1}(x)\beta_k(x)^2\gamma_k(x) \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{n} Q(T^k(x))\beta_{k-1}(x)^4 + 6\sum_{k=0}^{n}\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\int_0^{T^k(x)} t^2(T^k(x)-2t)G_2(T(t))dt. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Letting $n\to\infty$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(x) =& \frac{\pi^3}{3}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\beta_k(x)\gamma_k(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k P(T^k(x))\beta_{k-1}(x)^4 \\ &+ 6\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k+1}\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\int_0^{T^k(x)} t^2(T^k(x)-2t)F_2(T(t))dt, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_2(x) =& \pi^2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)\beta_k(x)^2\gamma_k(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q(T^k(x))\beta_{k-1}(x)^4 \\ &+ 6\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\int_0^{T^k(x)} t^2(T^k(x)-2t)G_2(T(t))dt. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Proof. Equations (20) and (21) follow from iterating (17) and (18), respectively. Since $|F_2|$ and $|G_2|$ are bounded on $\mathbb{R}$, we have that the terms $|(-1)^n F_2(T^n(x))\beta_{n-1}(x)^4|$ and $|G_2(T^n(x))\beta_{n-1}(x)^4|$ converge to 0 as $n\to\infty$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(x) =& \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Big(\frac{\pi^3}{3}(-1)^k\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\beta_k(x)\gamma_k(x) + (-1)^k P(T^k(x))\beta_{k-1}(x)^4 \\ &+ (-1)^{k+1}6\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\int_0^{T^k(x)} t^2(T^k(x)-2t)F_2(T(t))dt\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_2(x) =& \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Big(\pi^2\beta_{k-1}(x)\beta_k(x)^2\gamma_k(x) + Q(T^k(x))\beta_{k-1}(x)^4 \\ &+ 6\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\int_0^{T^k(x)} t^2(T^k(x)-2t)G_2(T(t))dt\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Finally, we note that $\big|\int_0^{T^k(x)} t^2(T^k(x)-2t)F_2(T(t))dt\big|$, $|P(T^k(x))|$ are bounded on $[0,1]$, therefore we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Big|\frac{\pi^3}{3}(-1)^k\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\beta_k(x)\gamma_k(x) + (-1)^k P(T^k(x))\beta_{k-1}(x)^4 + (-1)^{k+1}6\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\int_0^{T^k(x)} t^2(T^k(x)-2t)F_2(T(t))dt\Big|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{\pi^3}{3}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\beta_k(x)\gamma_k(x) + c_1\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)^4 \\
&\leq \frac{2\pi^3}{3}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\log(q_{k+1})}{q_k^3 q_{k+1}} + c_1\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{q_k^4} && \text{by Proposition 3 (1) and (2)} \\
&\leq c_2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{q_k^3} \leq c_2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\mathrm{Fib}_k^3} && \text{by Proposition 2 (1),}
\end{aligned}$$

for some constants c_1 and c_2. This shows that the series (24) converges absolutely and we can change the order of summation obtaining (22). In a similar way, we can show that (25) converges absolutely and we have (23). This completes the proof of the corollary. □

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 2 (i).** Let $x \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}$. Since F_2 is 1-periodic, we can assume $x \in (0,1)$. For brevity, let

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{1,k}(x) =& (-1)^k\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\beta_k(x)\gamma_k(x) \\
u_{2,k}(x) =& (-1)^k P(T^k(x))\beta_{k-1}(x)^4 \\
u_{3,k}(x) =& (-1)^{k+1}\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\int_0^{T^k(x)} t^2(T^k(x)-2t)F_2(T(t))dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

With this notation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_2(x) &= (-1)^n F_2(T^n(x))\beta_{n-1}(x)^4 + \frac{\pi^3}{3}\sum_{k=0}^{n}u_{1,k}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n}u_{2,k}(x) + 6\sum_{k=0}^{n}u_{3,k}(x) \\
&= \frac{\pi^3}{3}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}u_{1,k}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}u_{2,k}(x) + 6\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}u_{3,k}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

We are interested in the limit $\frac{F_2(x+h)-F_2(x)}{h}$ as $h\to\infty$. For each h, let $K_h \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x+h \in I_k(x)$ for all $k \leq K_h$ and $x+h \notin I_{K_h+1}(x)$. We make the following observation.

Lemma 3. *Let $x \in (0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}, |h|>0$ and K_h defined as above, then*

$$\frac{1}{2q_{K_h+2}q_{K_h+3}} \leq |h| \leq \frac{2}{q_{K_h}^2}.$$

If $a_k = 1$ only for finitely many indices k, then there exists $h_0 > 0$ such that if $|h| \leq h_0$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2q_{K_h+1}q_{K_h+2}} \leq |h| \leq \frac{2}{q_{K_h}^2}. \tag{27}$$

Proof. Since $x+h \in I_{K_h}(x)$, $|h|$ must be smaller than or equal to the distance from x to one of the endpoints of $I_{K_h}(x)$, which are $\frac{p_{K_h}}{q_{K_h}}$ and $\frac{p_{K_h}+p_{K_h-1}}{q_{K_h}+q_{K_h-1}}$. We then have

$$|h| \leq \max\left(\left|x-\frac{p_{K_h}}{q_{K_h}}\right|, \left|x-\frac{p_{K_h}+p_{K_h-1}}{q_{K_h}+q_{K_h-1}}\right|\right)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \max\left(\frac{\beta_{K_h}(x)}{q_{K_h}}, \frac{\beta_{K_h+1}(x)}{q_{K_h+1}} + \frac{a_{K_h+1}-1}{q_{K_h+1}(q_{K_h}+q_{K_h-1})}\right)\\
&\leq \max\left(\frac{1}{q_{K_h}q_{K_h+1}}, \frac{1}{q_{K_h+1}q_{K_h+2}} + \frac{1}{(q_{K_h}+q_{K_h-1})(q_{K_h}+q_{K_h-1})}\right) \text{ by Proposition 3 (1)}\\
&\leq \frac{2}{q_{K_h}^2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, since $x+h \notin I_{K_h+1}(x)$, $|h|$ must be greater than the distance from x to the boundary of $I_{K_h+1}(x)$. By [BM12, Proposition 4], $|h| \geq \frac{1}{2q_{K_h+2}q_{K_h+3}}$. If $a_k = 1$ only for finitely many indices k, then there exists $h_0 > 0$ such that for all $|h| \leq h_0$, for all $k \geq K_h$ we have $a_k > 1$. Then the distance from x the boundary of $I_{K_h+1}(x)$ is greater than or equal to $\frac{1}{2q_{K_h+1}q_{K_h+2}}$, by [BM12, Proposition 4]. □

Remark 2. We cannot improve the lower bound on $|h|$ without imposing further conditions on x. To illustrate it, we show that we do not even have (27) in a general case. Let x a square-Brjuno number such that it has infinitely many continued fraction quotients equal to 1 and infinitely many different than 1, then there exists a sequence $(h_{K_n})_n$ such that $h_{K_n} \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$, $x + h_{K_n} \in I_{K_n}(x)$, $x + h_{K_n} \notin I_{K_n+1}(x)$ and $|h_{K_n}| \leq \frac{1}{q_{K_n+2}q_{K_n+3}}$. Indeed, let K_n such that: (1) K_1 is the smallest possible and $K_{n+1} > K_n$; (2) $a_{K_n+2} = 1$ and $a_{K_n+3} \neq 1$. Then let $|h_{K_n}| > 0$ such that $x + h_{K_n} = \frac{p_{K_n+1}+p_{K_n}}{q_{K_n+1}+q_{K_n}}$. We have that $|h_{K_n}| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$; $x + h_{K_n} \in I_{K_n}(x)$, $x + h_{K_n} \notin I_{K_n+1}(x)$; and $|h_{K_n}| \leq \frac{1}{q_{K_n+2}q_{K_n+3}}$.

By Corollary 1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{F_2(x+h)-F_2(x)}{h}\\
&\quad= \frac{(-1)^{K_h-1}\left(F_2(T^{K_h-1}(x+h))\beta_{K_h-2}(x+h)^4 - F_2(T^{K_h-1}(x))\beta_{K_h-2}(x)^4\right)}{h}\\
&\qquad+ \frac{\frac{\pi^3}{3}\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\left(u_{1,k}(x+h)-u_{1,k}(x)\right)}{h} + \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\left(u_{2,k}(x+h)-u_{2,k}(x)\right)}{h}\\
&\qquad\qquad+ \frac{6\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\left(u_{3,k}(x+h)-u_{3,k}(x)\right)}{h}. \qquad (28)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the next lemmas, we evaluate the limit of each term as $h \to 0$.

Lemma 4. *Let $x \in (0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$ such that it satisfies (∗) or (∗∗), then*

$$
\frac{(-1)^{K_h-1}\left(F_2(T^{K_h-1}(x+h))\beta_{K_h-2}(x+h)^4 - F_2(T^{K_h-1}(x))\beta_{K_h-2}(x)^4\right)}{h} \to 0,
$$

as $h \to 0$.

Proof. We have the following

$$\frac{(-1)^{K_h-1}\left(F_2(T^{K_h-1}(x+h))\beta_{K_h-2}(x+h)^4 - F_2(T^{K_h-1}(x))\beta_{K_h-2}(x)^4\right)}{h}$$
$$= (-1)^{K_h-1}\beta_{K_h-2}(x)^4\left(\frac{F_2(T^{K_h-1}(x+h)) - F_2(T^{K_h-1}(x))}{h}\right)$$
$$+ (-1)^{K_h-1}\left(\frac{\beta_{K_h-2}(x+h)^4 - \beta_{K_h-2}(x)^4}{h}F_2(T^{K_h-1}(x+h))\right). \quad (29)$$

Firstly, we consider the first summand. We have

$$\left|\frac{F_2(T^{K_h-1}(x+h)) - F_2(T^{K_h-1}(x))}{h}\right|$$
$$= \frac{|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n^3}(\sin(2\pi n T^{K_h-1}(x+h)) - \sin(2\pi n T^{K_h-1}(x)))|}{|h|}$$
$$= 2\frac{|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n^3}(\sin((T^{K_h-1}(x+h) - T^{K_h-1}(x))\pi n)\cos((T^{K_h-1}(x+h) + T^{K_h-1}(x))\pi n))|}{|h|}.$$

Let $N = \lceil\frac{1}{h^2}\rceil$, then we have

$$\left|\frac{F_2(T^{K_h-1}(x+h)) - F_2(T^{K_h-1}(x))}{h}\right|$$
$$\leq 2\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n^3}|\sin((T^{K_h-1}(x+h) - T^{K_h-1}(x))\pi n)|}{|h|} + 2\frac{\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n^3}}{|h|}$$
$$\leq 2\pi\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n^2}|T^{K_h-1}(x+h) - T^{K_h-1}(x)|}{|h|} + 2\frac{\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n^3}}{|h|}$$
$$\leq 8\pi q_{K_h-1}^2\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n^2} + 2\frac{\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n^3}}{|h|}. \quad (30)$$

The last line follows from the fact that T^{K_h-1} is continuous and differentiable on $I_{K_h}(x)$, and by the Mean Value Theorem $\frac{|T^{K_h-1}(x+h)-T^{K_h-1}(x)|}{|h|} = |(T^{K_h-1}(t))'|$ for some t between x and $x+h$. By Proposition 4 (2.d) we have that $(T^k(y))' = (-1)^k\beta_{k-1}(y)^{-2}$. By Proposition 3 (1) we conclude that $|(T^{K_h-1}(t))'| \leq 4q_{K_h-1}^2$.

Consider $\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n^2}$, by Abel's summation formula

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1}\left(\frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}\right)\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sigma_1(k) + \frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\sigma_1(n)$$
$$\leq 3\sum_{n=1}^{N-1}\frac{1}{n^3}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sigma_1(k) + \frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\sigma_1(n).$$

By Theorem 3 in [Ten95, p.40], there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_1(j) \leq \frac{\pi^2}{12}k^2 + c_1 k \log k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n^2} \leq & \frac{\pi^2}{4}\sum_{n=1}^{N-1}\frac{1}{n} + 3c_1 \sum_{n=1}^{N-1}\frac{\log n}{n^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{12} + c_1\frac{\log N}{N} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{\pi^2}{4} + 3c_1\right)\sum_{n=1}^{N-1}\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\pi^2}{12} + c_1\frac{\log N}{N} \leq c_2 \log N, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

for some constant $c_2 > 0$, as $\sum_{n=1}^{k}\frac{1}{k} \leq \log(k) + 2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Consider $\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n^3}$. By [Ten95, p.88], we have $\sigma_1(k) \leq c_3 k \log(\log(k))$ for some constant c_3 for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n^3} \leq & c_3 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\frac{\log(\log(n))}{n^2} \leq c_3 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\frac{(\log(n))^{1/2}}{n^2} c_3 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^{7/4}} \\ & \leq \frac{c_3}{(N+1)^{7/4}} + c_3\int_{N+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{x^{7/4}}dx = \frac{c_3}{(N+1)^{7/4}} + \frac{4c_3}{3(N+1)^{3/4}} \leq \frac{7c_3}{3N^{3/4}}. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Assume $|h| < 1$. Substituting (31) and (32) into (30), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{F_2(T^{K_h-1}(x+h)) - F_2(T^{K_h-1}(x))}{h}\right| & \leq 8\pi c_2 q_{K_h-1}^2 \log N + \frac{14c_3}{3N^{3/4}|h|} \\ & \leq 8\pi c_2 q_{K_h-1}^2 \log\left(\frac{2}{h}\right) + \frac{14}{3}|h|^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

by the choice of N.

By Lemma 3 and Proposition 3 (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{F_2(T^{K_h-1}(x+h)) - F_2(T^{K_h-1}(x))}{h}\right| \beta_{K_h-2}(x)^4 \leq & \frac{8\pi c_2}{q_{K_h-1}^2}\log(4q_{K_h+2}q_{K_h+3}) + \frac{14}{3q_{K_h-1}^4}|h|^{1/2} \\ \leq & c_3\frac{\log(q_{K_h+3})}{q_{K_h-1}^2} + \frac{14}{3q_{K_h-1}^4}|h|^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c_3 > 0$. If x satisfies $(*)$, it converges to 0 as $h \to 0$. If x satisfies $(**)$, then Lemma 3 and Proposition 3 (1) imply

$$\left|\frac{F_2(T^{K_h-1}(x+h)) - F_2(T^{K_h-1}(x))}{h}\right| \beta_{K_h-2}(x)^4 \leq c_4\frac{\log(q_{K_h+2})}{q_{K_h-1}^2} + \frac{14}{3q_{K_h-1}^4}|h|^{1/2},$$

for some constant $c_4 > 0$, and it also converges to 0.

Finally, we consider the second summand of (29). Since the function $\beta_{K_h-2}(y)^4$ is continuous and differentiable on $I_{K_h}(x)$, the Mean Value Theorem implies that for some t between x and $x+h$ we have

$$\frac{|\beta_{K_h-2}(x+h)^4 - \beta_{K_h-2}(x)^4|}{|h|} = |(\beta_{K_h-2}(t)^4)'|$$

$$= 4\beta_{K_h-2}(t)^3(-1)^{K_h-2}q_{K_h-2} \qquad \text{by Proposition 4 (2.b)}$$
$$\leq \frac{4}{q_{K_h-1}^2} \qquad \text{by Proposition 3 (1).}$$

Observing that $|F_2|$ is bounded and $\|F_2\|_\infty = \sup_{y\in[0,1)} |F_{2,3}(y)|$ we obtain

$$\left|\frac{\beta_{K_h-1}(x+h)^4 - \beta_{K_h-1}(x)^4}{h} F_2(T^{K_h}(x+h))\right| \leq \frac{4\|F_2\|_\infty}{q_{K_h-1}^2},$$

which converges to 0 as $h \to 0$ for all $x \in (0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4. □

Lemma 5. *Let $x \in (0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$ be a square-Brjuno number, then*

$$\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}(u_{1,k}(x+h) - u_{1,k}(x))}{h}$$
$$\to \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)\gamma_k(x) + 4\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left((-1)^k\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\beta_k(x)\gamma_k(x)\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}\right) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2$$

as $h \to 0$.

First we will establish the following two lemmas, which we will use in the proof of Lemma 5.

Lemma 6. *Let $x \in (0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$. The series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)\gamma_k(x)$ converges if and only if $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\log(q_{k+1})}{q_k^2}$ converges.*

Proof. Since $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)\gamma_k(x)$ is positive, we have:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)\gamma_k(x) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\log(2q_{k+1})}{q_k^2} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\log(2)}{q_k^2} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\log(q_{k+1})}{q_k^2},$$

where the first inequality follows from Proposition 3. Since $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\log(2)}{q_k^2}$ converges for all $x \in (0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$, if $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\log(q_{k+1})}{q_k^2}$ converges, then $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)\gamma_k(x)$ converges as well. For the inverse note that:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\log(q_{k+1})}{q_k^2} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\gamma_k(x)}{q_k} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\log(2q_k)}{q_k^2} \qquad \text{by Proposition 3 (2)}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)\gamma_k(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)\gamma_k(x)T^k(x)\frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\log(2q_k)}{q_k^2} \text{ by Proposition 3 (3)}$$
$$\leq 2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)\gamma_k(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\log(2q_k)}{q_k^2},$$

as $T^k(x)\frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k} \leq 1$. The sum $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(2q_k)}{q_k^2}$ converges for all x, which completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 7. *The series*

$$4\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Big((-1)^k\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\beta_k(x)\gamma_k(x)\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}-\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\Big)$$

converges for all $x \in (0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. By Claim 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|4\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Big((-1)^k\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\beta_k(x)\gamma_k(x)\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}-\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\Big)\right| \\
&\qquad\leq 4\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\beta_{k-1}(x)\beta_k(x)\gamma_k(x)q_{k-1}|+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2 \\
&\qquad\leq 4\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{q_{k-1}}{q_kq_{k+1}}\frac{\log(2q_{k+1})}{q_k}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{q_k^2}\text{ by Proposition 3 (1) and (2)} \\
&\qquad\leq 9\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{q_k},
\end{aligned}$$

which converges by Proposition 2 (2). □

Proof of Lemma 5. Let $x \in (0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$ be square-Brjuno. By Proposition 4 (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}(u_{1,k}(x+h)-u_{1,k}(x))}{h}-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)\gamma_k(x)\right. \\
&\qquad\left.-4\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Big((-1)^k\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\beta_k(x)\gamma_k(x)\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}\Big)+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\right| \\
&\qquad\leq\Big|-\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\log(T^k(x+h))-\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\beta_{k-1}(x)\gamma_k(x)\Big| \\
&\qquad+4\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}(-1)^{k+1}\Big(\beta_{k-1}(x)^3\beta_k(x)\log(T^k(x+h))\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}\Big) \\
&\qquad\qquad-\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\Big((-1)^k\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\beta_k(x)\gamma_k(x)\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}\Big)\Big| \\
&\qquad+\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}(-1)^{k+1}\frac{\beta_{k-1}(x)^3\beta_k(x)(\log(T^k(x+h))-\log(T^k(x)))}{h}+\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\Big|
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}(-1)^{k+1}A_k h\log(T^k(x+h))\Big|+\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}(-1)^{k+1}B_k h^2\log(T^k(x+h))\Big|$$
$$+\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}(-1)^{k+1}C_k h^3\log(T^k(x+h))\Big|+\Big|-\sum_{k=K_h}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)\gamma_k(x)$$
$$-4\sum_{k=K_h}^{\infty}\Big((-1)^k\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\beta_k(x)\gamma_k(x)\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}\Big)+\sum_{k=K_h}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\Big|, \quad (33)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}A_k&=-3\beta_{k-1}(x)^2q_{k-1}q_k+6\beta_{k-1}(x)\beta_k(x)q_{k-1}+3(-1)^k\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\beta_k(x)q_{k-1}^2\\ B_k&=(-1)^k(3\beta_{k-1}(x)q_{k-1}^2q_k-3\beta_k(x)q_{k-1}^2-\beta_k(x)q_{k-1}^3)-3\beta_{k-1}(x)\beta_k(x)q_{k-1}^3 \quad (34)\\ C_k&=-q_{k-1}^3q_k.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 6 and 7, the last term converges to 0 as $h\to 0$. We will now show that all the other terms also converge to 0.

We observe that by Proposition 4, for all $k\le K_h$ the function T^k is non-zero, continuous, and differentiable on $I_k(x)$, hence $\log(T^k)$ is continuous, and differentiable on $I_k(x)$. Then for all $k\le K_h-1$ and $y\in I_k(x)$ we have $\log(T^k(y))'=\frac{(-1)^k}{T^k(y)\beta_{k-1}(y)^2}$. By the Mean Value Theorem $|\log(T^k(x+h))-\log(T^k(x))|=|h|\frac{1}{T^k(t_k)}\frac{1}{\beta_{k-1}(t_k)^2}$, for some t_k between x and $x+h$. Since $t_k\in I_k(x)$, by Proposition 2 (4) and 3 (1), we have $\frac{1}{T^k(t_k)}\frac{1}{\beta_{k-1}(t_k)^2}\le\frac{2q_{k+1}}{q_k}4q_k^2=8q_kq_{k+1}$ and $|\log(T^k(x+h))-\log(T^k(x))|\le 8q_kq_{k+1}|h|$. Thus,

$$\Big|-\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\log(T^k(x+h))-\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\beta_{k-1}(x)\gamma_k(x)\Big|$$
$$=\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2(\log(T^k(x+h))-\log(T^k(x)))\Big|$$
$$\le 8|h|\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2q_kq_{k+1}\le 8|h|\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\frac{q_{k+1}}{q_k}\le\frac{16}{q_{K_h}}\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\frac{1}{q_k},$$

by Lemma 3, which converges to 0 as $h\to 0$.

Using the same arguments and applying Claim 4, we obtain

$$4\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}(-1)^{k+1}\Big(\beta_{k-1}(x)^3\beta_k(x)\log(T^k(x))\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}\Big)$$
$$-\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\Big((-1)^k\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\beta_k(x)\gamma_k(x)\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}\Big)\Big|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 4\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\beta_k(x)q_{k-1}|\log(T^k(x+h))q_{k-1}-\log(T^k(x))| \\
&\leq 32\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k}|h| \leq \frac{64}{q_{K_h}}\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\frac{1}{q_k},
\end{aligned}$$

which converges to 0 as $h \to 0$.

By the Mean Value Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}(-1)^{k+1}\frac{\beta_{k-1}(x)^3\beta_k(x)(\log(T^k(x+h))-\log(T^k(x)))}{h}+\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\Big| \\
&\qquad =\Big|-\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\frac{\beta_{k-1}(x)^3\beta_k(x)}{\beta_{k-1}(t_k)\beta_k(t_k)}+\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\Big|,
\end{aligned}$$

for some t_k between x and $x+h$. Also, $\beta_{k-1}(y)\beta_k(y)$ is continuous and differentiable on $I_k(x)$ with the derivative $(\beta_{k-1}(y)\beta_k(y))' = (-1)^k\beta_k(y)q_{k-1} + (-1)^{k-1}\beta_{k-1}(y)q_k$. By Proposition 3 (1) for all $y \in I_k(x)$ we have $|(\beta_{k-1}(y)\beta_k(y))'| \leq 2$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}(-1)^{k+1}\frac{\beta_{k-1}(x)^3\beta_k(x)(\log(T^k(x+h))-\log(T^k(x)))}{h}+\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\Big| \\
&\qquad =\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\frac{-\beta_{k-1}(x)\beta_k(x)+\beta_{k-1}(t_k)\beta_k(t_k)}{\beta_{k-1}(t_k)\beta_k(t_k)}\Big| \\
&\qquad \leq 2\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\frac{|x-t_k|}{\beta_{k-1}(t_k)\beta_k(t_k)} \leq 8\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\frac{q_{k+1}|h|}{q_k} \text{ by Proposition 3 (1)} \\
&\qquad \leq \frac{16}{q_{K_h}}\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\frac{1}{q_k},
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3, which converges to 0 as $h \to 0$ by Proposition 2 (2).

By Proposition 3 (1), we have $|A_k| \leq 3\frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k(x)} + 6\frac{q_{k-1}}{q_kq_{k+1}} + 3\frac{q_{k-1}^2}{q_k^2q_{k+1}} \leq 12$. Also by Proposition 2 (4), $|\log(T^k(x+h))| \leq \frac{2q_{k+1}}{q_k}$. Then by Lemma 3, we have

$$\left|\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}(-1)^{k+1}A_kh\log(T^k(x+h))\right| \leq 24\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\frac{q_{k+1}}{q_k}|h| \leq \frac{48}{q_{K_h}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{q_k},$$

which converges to 0 as $h \to 0$ by Proposition 2 (2). Similarly, $|B_k| \leq 3q_{k-1}^2 + 3\frac{q_{k-1}^2}{q_{k+1}} + 3\frac{q_{k-1}^3}{q_k q_{k+1}} + \frac{q_{k-1}^3}{q_{k+1}} \leq 10q_{k-1}^2$. We then have

$$\left|\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}(-1)^{k+1}B_k h^2 \log(T^k(x+h))\right| \leq 20\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\frac{q_{k-1}^2 q_{k+1}}{q_k}h^2 \leq \frac{80}{q_{K_h}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{q_k}.$$

which converges to 0 as $h \to 0$ by Proposition 2 (2). Finally,

$$\left|\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}(-1)^{k+1}C_k h^3 \log(T^k(x+h))\right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\frac{q_{k-1}^3 q_k q_{k+1}}{q_k}|h|^3 \leq \frac{8}{q_{K_h}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{q_k},$$

which converges to 0 as $h \to 0$ by Proposition 2 (2).

This shows that (33) converges to 0 as $h \to 0$ completing the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 8. *Let $x \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, then*

$$\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}(u_{2,k}(x+h) - u_{2,k}(x))}{h} \to \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(P(T^k(x)))'\beta_{k-1}(x)^2 + 4\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k P(T^k(x))\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2},$$

as $h \to 0$, where $(P(T^k(x)))'$ is the derivative of the polynomial P evaluated at $T^k(x)$.

Before we start proving Lemma 8, we will prove the following lemma, which we will use in proving Lemma 8.

Lemma 9. *The series*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Big((P(T^k(x)))'\beta_{k-1}(x)^2 + (-1)^k 4P(T^k(x))\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}\Big)$$

converges for all $x \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. Firstly, by Claim 4 we have $q_{k-1} = (-1)^{k-1}\beta_{k-1}(x)\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}$. Write $\|P\|_\infty = \sup_{y\in(0,1)}|P(y)|$ and $||P'||_\infty = \sup_{y\in(0,1)}|P(y)'|$. Since P and P' are polynomials, we have $\|P\|_\infty$ and $\|P'\|_\infty$ are finite. We then have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Big((P(T^k(x)))'\beta_{k-1}(x)^2 + (-1)^k 4P(T^k(x))\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}\Big)\Big| \\
&\qquad \leq \|P'\|_\infty\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2 + 4\|P\|_\infty\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)^3 q_{k-1} \\
&\qquad \leq \|P'\|_\infty\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{q_{k-1}^2} + 4\|P\|_\infty\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k^3} \text{ by Proposition 3 (1)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (\|P'\|_\infty + 4\|P\|_\infty) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q_{k-1}^2},$$

which converges for all $x \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ by Proposition 2 (2). □

Proof of Lemma 8. Let $x \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. We have $u_{2,k}(x) = (-1)^k P(T^k(x))\beta_{k-1}(x)^4$ where $P(y) = \hat{A}y^3 + \hat{B}y^2 + \hat{C}y + \hat{D}$, for some constants $\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}, \hat{D} \in \mathbb{R}$. An easy but long calculation shows that applying Claim 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} (u_{2,k}(x+h) - u_{2,k}(x))}{h} \\
&\quad = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \left((-1)^k P(T^k(x+h))\beta_{k-1}(x+h)^4 - (-1)^k P(T^k(x))\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\right)}{h} \\
&\quad = \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} (P(T^k(x)))'\beta_{k-1}(x)^2 - 4\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} P(T^k(x))\beta_{k-1}(x)^3 q_{k-1} \\
&\qquad + \hat{A}S_1(h) + \hat{B}S_2(h) + \hat{C}S_3(h) + \hat{D}S_4(h),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1(h) =& 3h\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} (-1)^k \beta_{k-1}(x)\beta_k(x)q_k^2 + h^2 \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \beta_{k-1}(x)q_k^3 + 3h\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} (-1)^{k-1}\beta_k(x)^2 q_{k-1}q_k \\
&- 3h^2 \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \beta_k(x)q_{k-1}q_k^2 + h^3 \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} (-1)^{k-1} q_{k-1}q_k^3 \\
S_2(h) =& h\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} (-1)^k \beta_{k-1}(x)^2 q_k^2 - 4h\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} (-1)^k \beta_{k-1}(x)\beta_k(x)q_{k-1}q_k - 2h^2 \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \beta_{k-1}(x)q_{k-1}q_k^2 \\
&+ h\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} (-1)^k \beta_k(x)^2 q_{k-1}^2 + 2h^2 \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \beta_k(x)q_{k-1}^2 q_k + h^3 \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} (-1)^k q_{k-1}^2 q_k^2. \\
S_3(h) =& 3h\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} (-1)^k \beta_{k-1}(x)\beta_k(x)q_{k-1}^2 - h^2 \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \beta_k(x)q_{k-1}^3 + 3h\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} (-1)^{k-1}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2 q_{k-1}q_k \\
&+ 3h^2 \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \beta_{k-1}(x)q_{k-1}^2 q_k + h^3 \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} (-1)^{k-1} q_{k-1}^3 q_k \\
S_4(h) =& 6h\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} (-1)^k \beta_{k-1}(x)^2 q_{k-1}^2 - 4h^2 \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \beta_{k-1}(x)q_{k-1}^3 + h^3 \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} (-1)^k q_{k-1}^4,
\end{aligned}$$

and $(P(T^k(x)))'$ is the derivative of the polynomial P evaluated at $T^k(x)$, that is

$$(P(T^k(x)))' = 3\hat{A}(T^k(x))^2 + 2\hat{B}T^k(x) + \hat{C}.$$

We then have

$$\Big|\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}(u_{2,k}(x+h)-u_{2,k}(x))}{h}-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(P(T^k(x)))'\beta_{k-1}(x)^2+4\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}P(T^k(x))\beta_{k-1}(x)^3q_{k-1}\Big|$$
$$\leq\Big|\sum_{k=K_h}^{\infty}(P(T^k(x)))'\beta_{k-1}(x)^2-4\sum_{k=K_h}^{\infty}P(T^k(x))\beta_{k-1}(x)^3q_{k-1}\Big|$$
$$+|\hat{A}S_1(h)|+|\hat{B}S_2(h)|+|\hat{C}S_3(h)|+|\hat{D}S_4(h)|. \quad (35)$$

The first term converges to 0 as $h\to 0$ by Lemma 9. Then applying Proposition 3 (1) and Lemma 3, we obtain

$$|S_1(h)|+|S_2(h)|+|S_3(h)|+|S_4(h)|$$
$$\leq\frac{22}{q_{K_h}}\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\frac{1}{q_k}+\frac{22}{q_{K_h}}\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\frac{1}{q_k}+\frac{22}{q_{K_h}}\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\frac{1}{q_k}+\frac{22}{q_{K_h}}\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\frac{1}{q_k}\xrightarrow[h\to 0]{}0.$$

It shows that the expression in (35) converges to 0 as $h\to 0$ which completes the proof of Lemma 8. □

Lemma 10. *Let $x\in(0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$, then*

$$\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}(u_{3,k}(x+h)-u_{3,k}(x))}{h}$$
$$\to\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Big(\beta_k(x)^2T^k(x)F_2(T^{k+1}(x))+\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\int_0^{p(k)}t^2F_2(T(t))dt$$
$$+4(-1)^{k+1}\int_0^{T^k(x)}t^2(T^k(x)-2t)F_2(T(t))dt\cdot\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}\Big),$$

as $h\to 0$ where $p(k)$ is the smaller endpoint of the interval $I_k(x)$, that is $p(k)=\frac{p_k}{q_k}$ if k is even, and $p(k)=\frac{p_k+p_{k-1}}{q_k+q_{k-1}}$ if k is odd.

Before proving Lemma 10 we will prove some claims and lemmas, which then we will use in the proof of Lemma 10. First note that for all $k\leq K_h$ the function $u_{3,k}$ is continuous and differentiable on $I_{K_h}(x)$. For brevity, write $\mathcal{I}_k(x)=\int_0^{T^k(x)}t^2(T^k(x)-2t)F_2(T(t))dt$. We will now calculate the derivative of $u_{1,k}$. We begin by calculating the derivative of $\mathcal{I}_k(x)$.

Claim 5. Let $x\in(0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$. For all $k\in\mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\mathcal{I}_k'(x)=\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\beta_{k-1}(x)^2}\int_0^{p(k)}t^2F_2(T(t))dt+\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\beta_{k-1}(x)^2}T^k(x)^3F_2(T^{k+1}(x)),$$

where $p(k)\in\mathbb{Q}$ is the smaller endpoint of the interval $I_k(x)$, that is $p(k)=\frac{p_k}{q_k}$ if k is even, and $p(k)=\frac{p_k+p_{k-1}}{q_k+q_{k-1}}$ if k is odd.

Proof. We use the substitution $y = T^k(x)$, hence $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta_{k-1}(x)^2}$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_k'(x) =& \frac{d}{dx}\int_0^{T^k(x)} t^2(T^k(x)-2t)F_2(T(t))dt = \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta_{k-1}(x)^2}\frac{d}{dy}\int_0^{y} t^2(y-2t)F_2(T(t))dt \\
=& \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta_{k-1}(x)^2}\frac{d}{dy}\int_0^{p(k)} t^2(y-2t)F_2(T(t))dt + \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta_{k-1}(x)^2}\frac{d}{dy}\int_{p(k)}^{y} t^2(y-2t)F_2(T(t))dt \\
=& \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta_{k-1}(x)^2}\int_0^{p(k)} t^2F_2(T(t))dt + \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta_{k-1}(x)^2}y^2(y-2y)F_2(T(y)) \\
=& \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta_{k-1}(x)^2}\int_0^{p(k)} t^2F_2(T(t))dt + \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\beta_{k-1}(x)^2}T^k(x)^3F_2(T^{k+1}(x)),
\end{aligned}$$

by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus and the fact that $t^2(T^k(x)-2t)F_2(T(t))dt$ is continuous on $(p(k), T^k(x)]$. □

Claim 6. Let $x \in (0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{3,k}'(x) = \beta_k(x)^2T^k(x)F_2(T^{k+1}(x)) + \beta_{k-1}(x)^2\int_0^{p(k)} t^2F_2(T(t))dt \\
+ 4(-1)^{k+1}\mathcal{I}_k(x)\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{3,k}'(x) =& (-1)^{k+1}\mathcal{I}_k(x)(\beta_{k-1}(x)^4)' + (-1)^{k+1}(\mathcal{I}_k(x))'\beta_{k-1}(x)^4 \\
=& 4(-1)^{k+1}\mathcal{I}_k(x)\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2} \\
&+ (-1)^{k+1}\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\beta_{k-1}(x)^2}T^k(x)^3F_2(T^{k+1}(x))\beta_{k-1}(x)^4 \\
&+ (-1)^{k+1}\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\beta_{k-1}(x)^2}\int_0^{p(k)} t^2F_2(T(t))dt\beta_{k-1}(x)^4 \qquad \text{by Claim 5} \\
=& \beta_k(x)^2T^k(x)F_2(T^{k+1}(x)) + \beta_{k-1}(x)^2\int_0^{p(k)} t^2F_2(T(t))dt \\
&+ 4(-1)^{k+1}\mathcal{I}_k(x)\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the claim. □

Lemma 11. *The series*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Big(\beta_k(x)^2T^k(x)F_2(T^{k+1}(x)) + \beta_{k-1}(x)^2\int_0^{p(k)} t^2F_2(T(t))dt$$

$$+ 4(-1)^{k+1}\mathcal{I}_k(x)\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}\Big)$$

converges for all $x \in (0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. Since $|F_2|$ is bounded by $\|F_2\|_\infty$, we have

$$|\mathcal{I}_k(x)| \le \|F_2\|_\infty \int_0^{T^k(x)} |t^2(T^k(x)-2t)|dt \le \|F_2\|_\infty \int_0^1 |t^2||(T^k(x)-2t)|dt \le \|F_2\|_\infty, \tag{36}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Big(\beta_k(x)^2T^k(x)F_2(T^{k+1}(x)) + \beta_{k-1}(x)^2\int_0^{p(k)} t^2F_2(T(t))dt \\
&\qquad + 4(-1)^{k+1}\mathcal{I}_k(x)\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}\Big)\Big| \\
\le&\|F_2\|_\infty\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_k(x)^2 + \|F_2\|_\infty\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\int_0^{p(k)}t^2dt \\
&\qquad + 4\|F_2\|_\infty\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Big|\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}\Big| \\
\le&\|F_2\|_\infty\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_k(x)^2 + \|F_2\|_\infty\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2 + 4\|F_2\|_\infty\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)^3q_{k-1} \text{ by Claim 4} \\
\le&6\|F_2\|_\infty\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{q_k^2},
\end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 3 (1). It converges for all $x \in (0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$ by Proposition 2 (2). □

We can now prove Lemma 10.

Proof of Lemma 10. Let $x \in (0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$. By the Mean Value Theorem and the fact that $u_{3,k}$ is continuous and differentiable on $I_k(x)$ for all $k \le K_h$, we have $\frac{u_{3,k}(x+h)-u_{3,k}(x)}{h} = u'_{3,k}(t_k)$ for some t_k between x and $x+h$ for all $k \le K_h$. Then $\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\left(u_{3,k}(x+h)-u_{3,k}(x)\right)}{h} = \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}u'_{3,k}(t_k)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big|\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\left(u_{3,k}(x+h)-u_{3,k}(x)\right)}{h} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}u'_{3,k}(x)\Big| &= \Big|\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}u'_{3,k}(t_k) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}u'_{3,k}(x)\Big| \\
&\le \Big|\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}(u'_{3,k}(t_k)-u'_{3,k}(x))\Big| + \Big|\sum_{k=K_h}^{\infty}u'_{3,k}(x)\Big|.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 11, $|\sum_{k=K_h}^{\infty} u'_{3,k}(x)|$ converges to 0 as $h \to 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big| \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} (u'_{3,k}(t_k) - u'_{3,k}(x))\Big| \\
&\qquad \le \Big| \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \Big(\beta_k(t_k)^2 T^k(t_k) F_2(T^{k+1}(t_k)) - \beta_k(x)^2 T^k(x) F_2(T^{k+1}(x))\Big)\Big| \\
&\qquad + \Big| \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \Big(\beta_{k-1}(t_k)^2 \int_0^{p(k,t_k)} t^2 F_2(T(t))dt - \beta_{k-1}(x)^2 \int_0^{p(k,x)} t^2 F_2(T(t))dt\Big)\Big| \\
&+ \Big| \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} 4(-1)^{k+1}\Big(\mathcal{I}_k(t_k)\beta_{k-1}(t_k)^4 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j \frac{T^j(t_k)}{\beta_j(t_k)^2} - \mathcal{I}_k(x)\beta_{k-1}(x)^4 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j \frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}\Big)\Big|.
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

We will now show that each of these terms converges to 0 as $h \to 0$.

We start with the first term. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big| \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} (\beta_k(t_k)^2 T^k(t_k) F_2(T^{k+1}(t_k)) - \beta_k(x)^2 T^k(x) F_2(T^{k+1}(x)))\Big| \\
&\qquad \le \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} |\beta_k(t_k)^2 T^k(t_k) - \beta_k(x)^2 T^k(x)||F_2(T^{k+1}(t_k)| \\
&\qquad\qquad + \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} |F_2(T^{k+1}(t_k)) - F_2(T^{k+1}(x))|\beta_k(x)^2 T^k(x).
\end{aligned}$$

The function $\beta_k(y)^2 T^k(y)$ is continuous and differentiable on $I_{K_h}(x)$ for all $k \le K_h$, and by Proposition 4 (2) we have $(\beta_k(y)^2 T^k(y))' = 2\beta_k(y)T^k(y)(-1)^k q_k + \beta_k(y)^2(-1)^k \frac{1}{\beta_k(y)^2}$. Thus for all $y \in I_{K_h}(x)$ we have $|(\beta_k(y)^2 T^k(y))'| \le 3$. By the fact that $|F_2|$ is bounded by $\|F_2\|_\infty$, the Mean Value Theorem and Lemma 3 we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} |(\beta_k(t_k)^2 T^k(t_k) - \beta_k(x)^2 T^k(x)||F_2(T^{k+1}(t_k)| \le \|F_2\|_\infty \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} 3|h| \le \frac{3\|F_2\|_\infty}{q_{K_h}},$$

which converges to 0 as $h \to 0$. Let $N = q_{K_h}^2$. Using the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 4, for some constants c_1, c_2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} |F_2(T^{k+1}(t_k)) - F_2(T^{k+1}(x))|\beta_k(x)^2 T^k(x) \\
&\qquad \le c_1 \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} |h|\beta_k(x)^2 T^k(x) q_{k+1}^2 \log N + c_2 \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \beta_k(x)^2 T^k(x) \frac{1}{N^{3/4}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq c_1 \frac{2}{q_{K_h}} \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \frac{2\log q_{K_h}}{q_{K_h}} + c_2 \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \frac{1}{q_k^2 q_{K_h}^{3/2}},$$

by Proposition 3 (1) and Lemma 3, which converges to 0 as $h \to 0$.

For the second term, note that since for all $k \leq K_h$ we have $t_k \in I_{K_h}(x)$, then for $k \leq K_h$ we have that $p(k, t_k) = p(k, x)$. We will denote it $p(k)$. Since $\int_0^{p(k)} t^2 F_2(T(t))dt$ is bounded by $\|F_2\|_\infty$ for all k, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \left| \beta_{k-1}(t_k)^2 \int_0^{p(k)} t^2 F_2(T(t))dt - \beta_{k-1}(x)^2 \int_0^{p(k)} t^2 F_2(T(t))dt \right|$$
$$\leq \|F_2\|_\infty \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} |\beta_{k-1}(t_k)^2 - \beta_{k-1}(x)^2| \leq \|F_2\|_\infty \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} 2\frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k}|h| \leq \frac{2\|F_2\|_\infty}{q_{K_h}} \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \frac{1}{q_k}.$$

The last line follows from the fact that for all $k \leq K_h$ the function $\beta_{k-1}(y)^2$ is continuous and differentiable on $I_{K_h}(x)$; by Proposition 4 (2.b) $(\beta_{k-1}(y)^2)' = 2\beta_{k-1}(y)(-1)^{k-1}q_{k-1}$. Then by Proposition 3 (1) $|(\beta_{k-1}(y)^2)'| \leq 2\frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k}$, for all $y \in I_k(x)$. By the Mean Value Theorem, the fact that $|h| \geq |x - t_k|$ and Lemma 3 we obtain the result. It follows from Proposition 2 (2) that the term converges to 0 as $h \to 0$.

We consider the last term. Applying Claim 4, we get

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} 4(-1)^{k+1} \left(\mathcal{I}_k(t_k)\beta_{k-1}(t_k)^4 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \frac{T^j(t_k)}{\beta_j(t_k)^2} - \mathcal{I}_k(x)\beta_{k-1}(x)^4 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2} \right) \right|$$
$$\leq 4 \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} q_{k-1}|\mathcal{I}_k(t_k) - \mathcal{I}_k(x)|\beta_{k-1}(t_k)^3 + 4 \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} q_{k-1}|\beta_{k-1}(t_k)^3 - \beta_{k-1}(x)^3||\mathcal{I}_k(x)|.$$

By Proposition 3 (1) and bounding $|F_2|$ by $\|F_2\|_\infty$, we have

$$4 \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} q_{k-1}|\mathcal{I}_k(t_k) - \mathcal{I}_k(x)|\beta_{k-1}(t_k)^3 \leq 4 \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k^3}|\mathcal{I}_k(t_k) - \mathcal{I}_k(x)|$$
$$\leq 4 \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k^3} \Big(\Big| \int_{T^k(x)}^{T^k(t_k)} -2t^3 F_2(T(t))dt \Big| + \Big| \int_0^{T^k(x)} t^2 (T^k(t_k) - T^k(x)) F_2(T(t))dt \Big|$$
$$+ \Big| \int_{T^k(x)}^{T^k(t_k)} t^2 T^k(t_k) F_2(T(t))dt \Big| \Big)$$
$$\leq 4\|F_2\|_\infty \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k^3} \Big(2 \Big| \int_{T^k(x)}^{T^k(t_k)} t^3 dt \Big| + \Big| \int_0^{T^k(x)} t^2 (T^k(t_k) - T^k(x))dt \Big| + \Big| \int_{T^k(x)}^{T^k(t_k)} t^2 dt \Big| \Big)$$

$$\leq 4\|F_2\|_\infty \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k^3}\Big(\frac{|T^k(t_k)^4 - T^k(x)^4|}{2} + \int_0^{T^k(x)} t^2|T^k(t_k) - T^k(x)|dt + \frac{|T^k(t_k)^3 - T^k(x)^3|}{3}\Big).$$

By Proposition 4, the functions $T^k(y)^4$, $T^k(y)^3$ and $T^k(y)$ are continuous and differentiable on $I_{K_h}(x)$ for all $k \leq K_h$ with $(T^k(y)^4)' = 4(-1)^k \frac{T^k(y)^3}{\beta_{k-1}(y)^2}$, $(T^k(y)^3)' = 3(-1)^k \frac{T^k(y)^2}{\beta_{k-1}(y)^2}$ and $(T^k(y))' = \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta_{k-1}(y)^2}$. It follows that for $y \in I_{K_h}(x)$ we have $|(T^k(y)^4)'| \leq 16q_k^2$, $|(T^k(x)^3)'| = 12q_k^2$ and $|(T^k(x))'| = 4q_k^2$. By the Mean Value Theorem, the fact that $|t_k - x| \leq |h|$ and Lemma 3 we get

$$4\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} q_{k-1}|\mathcal{I}_k(t_k) - \mathcal{I}_k(x)|\beta_{k-1}(t_k)^3 \leq 4\|F_2\|_\infty|h| \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k}\Big(8 + 4\int_0^{T^k(x)} t^2dt + 4\Big)$$
$$\leq 48\|F_2\|_\infty|h| \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k} \leq \frac{96\|F_2\|_\infty}{q_{K_h}} \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \frac{1}{q_k},$$

which converges to 0 as $h \to 0$ by Proposition 2 (2). Also, for all $k \leq K_h$ the function $\beta_{k-1}(y)^3$ is continuous and differentiable on $I_{K_h}(x)$ and $(\beta_{k-1}(y)^3)' = 3(-1)^{k-1}\beta_{k-1}(y)^2 q_{k-1}$. Hence, $|(\beta_{k-1}(y)^3)'| \leq 3\frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k^2}$ for all $y \in I_k(x)$. By (36) and Lemma 3, we have

$$4\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} q_{k-1}|\beta_{k-1}(t_k)^3 - \beta_{k-1}(x)^3||\mathcal{I}_k(x)| \leq 12\|F_2\|_\infty \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} q_{k-1}|h|\frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k^2}$$
$$\leq \frac{12\|F_2\|_\infty}{q_{K_h}} \sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1} \frac{1}{q_k},$$

which converges to 0 as $h \to 0$ by Proposition 2 (2).

This shows that (37) converges to 0 as $h \to 0$ completing the proof of Lemma 10. □

Proof of Theorem 2 (i). Let $x \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ be a square-Brjuno number satisfying $(*)$ or $(**)$. By (28) and Lemmas 4, 8, 10 and 5 we conclude that F_2 is differentiable at x and

$$F_2'(x) = \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{F_2(x+h) - F_2(x)}{h}$$
$$= \frac{\pi^3}{3}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k-1}(x)\gamma_k(x) + \frac{4\pi^3}{3}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Big((-1)^k\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\beta_k(x)\gamma_k(x)\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}\Big)$$
$$- \frac{\pi^3}{3}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(P(T^k(x)))'\beta_{k-1}(x)^2$$

$$+4\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k P(T^k(x))\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}$$
$$+6\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Big(\beta_k(x)^2T^k(x)F_2(T^{k+1}(x))+\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\int_0^{p(k)}t^2F_2(T(t))dt$$
$$+4(-1)^{k+1}\int_0^{T^k(x)}t^2(T^k(x)-2t)F_2(T(t))dt\cdot\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}\Big),$$

where $(P(T^k(x)))'$ is the derivative of the polynomial P evaluated at $T^k(x)$ and $p(k)$ is the smaller endpoint of the interval $I_k(x)$, that is $p(k)=\frac{p_k}{q_k}$ if k is even, and $p(k)=\frac{p_k+p_{k-1}}{q_k+q_{k-1}}$ if k is odd.

Suppose now that $x\in(0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$ is not square-Brjuno. We will show that there exists a sequence $h_n\to 0$ such that $\frac{F_2(x+h_n)-F_2(x)}{h_n}\to\infty$ as $n\to\infty$. For each $n\in\mathbb{N}$ odd choose $h_n>0$ such that if $x\in I(a_1,a_2,...,a_k,a_{n+1})$, then $x+h_n\in I(a_1,a_2,...,a_k,a_{n+1}+2)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$. We have $x+h_n\in I_k(x)$, but $x+h_n\notin I_{n+1}(x)$, and $h_n\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$, and $[x,x+h_n]$ contains the basic interval $I(a_1,a_2,...,a_k,a_{n+1}+1)$. We also note that if $t\in[x,x+h_n]$ then

$$q_{n+1}\le q_{n+1}(t)\le 3q_{n+1}, \tag{38}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{18q_{n+1}^2}<\frac{1}{q_{n+1}(t)(q_{n+1}(t)+q_n)}=|I(a_1,a_2,...,a_k,a_{n+1}+1)|<h_n\le\frac{1}{q_nq_{n+1}}. \tag{39}$$

By Equation (22), we have

$$\frac{F_2(x+h)-F_2(x)}{h_n}=\frac{\frac{\pi^3}{3}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(u_{1,k}(x+h_n)-u_{1,k}(x))}{h_n}$$
$$+\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(u_{2,k}(x+h_n)-u_{2,k}(x))}{h_n}+\frac{6\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(u_{3,k}(x+h_n)-u_{3,k}(x))}{h_n}.$$

We will now show that the last two terms converge to some finite limits as $n\to\infty$.

Since $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}u_{2,k}(y)$ converges absolutely for all y, we have $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(u_{2,k}(x+h_n)-u_{2,k}(x))=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(u_{2,k}(x+h_n)-u_{2,k}(x))+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}(u_{2,k}(x+h_n)-u_{2,k}(x))$. By the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 8, we conclude that $\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n}u_{2,k}(x+h_n)-u_{2,k}(x)}{h_n}$ converges to some finite limit as $n\to\infty$. By Proposition 3 (1) and since $0\le|P(y)|\le\|P\|_\infty$ for all $y\in(0,1)$, we have

$$\left|\frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}(u_{2,n}(x+h_k)-u_{2,k}(x))}{h_n}\right|$$
$$\le\frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left(|P(T^k(x+h_n))|\beta_{k-1}(x+h_n)^4+|P(T^k(x))|\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\right)}{h_n}$$

$$\leq \frac{\|P\|_\infty}{h_n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(q_k(x+h_n))^4} + \frac{1}{q_k^4} \right) \leq 18\|P\|_\infty \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(q_k(x+h_n))^2} + \frac{1}{q_k^2} \right)$$

by (38) and (39). It converges to 0 as $n \to \infty$ by Proposition 2 (2).

Since $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_{3,k}(y)$ converges absolutely for all y, we have $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(u_{3,k}(x+h_n) - u_{3,k}(x)) = \sum_{k=0}^{n}(u_{3,k}(x+h_n) - u_{3,k}(x)) + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}(u_{3,k}(x+h_n) - u_{3,k}(x))$. By the same arguments as in Lemma 10 we conclude that $\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n}(u_{3,k}(x+h_n)-u_{3,k}(x))}{h_n}$ converges to some finite limit as $n \to \infty$. By Proposition 3 (1) and since $|F_2|$ is bounded by $\|F_2\|_\infty$ we have

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}(u_{3,k}(x+h_n) - u_{3,k}(x))}{h_n} \right| \leq \frac{\|F_2\|_\infty}{h_n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{1}{(q_k(x+h_n))^4} + \frac{1}{q_k^4} \Big)$$
$$\leq 18\|F_2\|_\infty \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{1}{(q_k(x+h_n))^2} + \frac{1}{q_k^2} \Big),$$

by (38) and (39). It converges to 0 as $n \to \infty$ by Proposition 2 (2).

Since $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_{1,k}(y)$ converges absolutely for all y, we have $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(u_{1,k}(x+h_n) - u_{1,k}(x)) = \sum_{n=0}^{n}(u_{1,k}(x+h_n) - u_{1,k}(x)) + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}(u_{1,k}(x+h_n) - u_{1,k}(x))$. By Proposition 3 (1) and (2), we have

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}(u_{1,k}(x+h_n) - u_{1,k}(x))}{h_n} \right| \leq \frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{\log(2q_{k+1}(x+h_n))}{(q_k(x+h_n))^3 q_{k+1}(x+h_n)} + \frac{\log(2q_{k+1})}{q_k^3 q_{k+1}} \Big)$$
$$\leq 36 \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{1}{q_k(x+h_n)} + \frac{1}{q_k} \Big),$$

by (38) and (39). It converges to 0 as $n \to \infty$ by Proposition 2 (2).

As in the proof of Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n}(u_{1,k}(x+h_n) - u_{1,k}(x))}{h_n} &= -\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_{k-1}(x)^2 \log(T^k(x+h_n)) \\
&+ \sum_{k=0}^{n} 4(-1)^{k+1} \beta_{k-1}(x)^3 \beta_k(x) \log(T^k(x+h_n)) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2} \\
&+ \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{\beta_{k-1}(x)^3 \beta_k(x)(\log(T^k(x+h_n)) - \log(T^k(x)))}{h_n} \\
&+ \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} A_k h_n \log(T^k(x+h_n)) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} B_k h_n^2 \log(T^k(x+h_n)) \\
&+ \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} C_k h_n^3 \log(T^k(x+h_n)),
\end{aligned}$$

where A_k, B_k, C_k were defined in (34). By the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 5, we conclude that $\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k+1}A_k h_n \log(T^k(x+h_n)) + \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k+1}B_k h_n^2 \log(T^k(x+h_n)) + \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k+1}C_k h_n^3 \log(T^k(x+h_n))$ converges to 0 as $n \to \infty$, and that $\sum_{k=0}^{n} 4(-1)^{k+1} \beta_{k-1}(x)^3\beta_k(x)\log(T^k(x+h_n))\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j \frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{h_n}\beta_{k-1}(x)^3\beta_k(x)\,(\log(T^k(x+h_n)) - \log(T^k(x)))$ both converge to finite limits as $n \to \infty$. Finally, we have

$$-\sum_{k=0}^{n}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\log(T^k(x+h_n)) = \sum_{k=0}^{n}\beta_{k-1}(x)\gamma_k(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\log\Big(\frac{T^k(x)}{T^k(x+h_n)}\Big).$$

Since $h_n > 0$, we have $x < x+h_n$. If k is odd then $\log(\frac{T^k(x)}{T^k(x+h_n)}) > 0$, and if k is even then $\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\log(\frac{T^k(x)}{T^k(x+h_n)}) \geq -\log(4)\beta_{k-1}(x)^2$ by Proposition 2 (4). Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{n} -\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\log(T^k(x+h_n)) &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{n}\beta_{k-1}(x)\gamma_k(x) - \sum_{\substack{k=0,\\ k\text{ odd}}}^{n}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\log(4),\\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{n}\beta_{k-1}(x)\gamma_k(x) - \log(4)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2.\end{aligned}$$

By Propositions 3 (1) and 2 (2) we have $|-\log(4)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2| < \infty$. Since x is not square-Brjuno $-\sum_{k=0}^{n}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\log(T^k(x+h_n)) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

This shows that $\frac{F_2(x+h_n)-F_2(x)}{h_n} \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, and we conclude that F_2 is not differentiable at x. This completes the proof of Theorem 2 (i). □

3.4. **Proof of Theorem 2 (ii).** Let $x \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}$. Since G_2 is 1-periodic, we may assume $x \in (0,1)$. For brevity, let

$$\begin{aligned}v_{1,k}(x) =& \beta_{k-1}(x)\beta_k(x)^2\gamma_k(x)\\ v_{2,k}(x) =& Q(T^k(x))\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\\ v_{3,k}(x) =& \beta_{k-1}(x)^4\int_0^{T^k(x)} t^2(T^k(x)-2t)G_2(T(t))dt\end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 1, with this notation, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$G_2(x) = G_2(T^n(x))\beta_{n-1}(x)^4 + \pi^2\sum_{k=0}^{n}v_{1,k}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n}v_{2,k}(x) + 6\sum_{k=0}^{n}v_{3,k}(x).$$

For each h, let $K_h \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x+h \in I_k(x)$ for all $k \leq K_h$ and $x+h \notin I_{K_h+1}(x)$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{G_2(x+h)-G_2(x)}{h} =& \frac{\big(G_2(T^{K_h-1}(x+h))\beta_{K_h-2}(x+h)^4 - G_2(T^{K_h-1}(x))\beta_{K_h-2}(x)^4\big)}{h}\\ &+ \frac{\pi^2\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\left(v_{1,k}(x+h)-v_{1,k}(x)\right)}{h} + \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\left(v_{2,k}(x+h)-v_{2,k}(x)\right)}{h}\end{aligned}$$

$$+\frac{6\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\left(v_{3,k}(x+h)-v_{3,k}(x)\right)}{h}. \quad (40)$$

We proceed as in the proof of Part (i) of Theorem 2. We consider each summand as $h \to 0$.

Lemma 12. *Let $x \in (0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$ such that it satisfies $(*)$ or $(**)$, then*

$$\frac{\left(G_2(T^{K_h-1}(x+h))\beta_{K_h-2}(x+h)^4 - G_2(T^{K_h-1}(x))\beta_{K_h-2}(x)^4\right)}{h} \to 0,$$

as $h \to 0$.

Proof. The proof is very similar to the proof of the Lemma 4, and therefore omitted. □

Lemma 13. *Let $x \in (0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$, then*

$$\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\left(v_{1,k}(x+h)-v_{1,k}(x)\right)}{h} \to 2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k\beta_k(x)\gamma_k(x)$$
$$+4\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\beta_{k-1}(x)\beta_k(x)^2\gamma_k(x)\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k+1}\beta_{k-1}(x)\beta_k(x)<\infty,$$

as $h \to 0$.

Proof. The proof is very similar to the proof of Lemma 5, and therefore omitted. □

Lemma 14. *Let $x \in (0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$, then*

$$\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\left(v_{2,k}(x+h)-v_{2,k}(x)\right)}{h}$$
$$\to\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k(Q(T^k(x)))'\beta_{k-1}(x)^2+4\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(Q(T^k(x))\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}\right)<\infty,$$

as $h \to 0$, where $(Q(T^k(x)))'$ is the derivative of the polynomial Q evaluated at $T^k(x)$.

Proof. The proof is very similar to the proof of the Lemma 8, and therefore omitted. □

Lemma 15. *Let $x \in (0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}$, then*

$$\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{K_h-1}\left(v_{3,k}(x+h)-v_{3,k}(x)\right)}{h}$$
$$\to\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Big((-1)^{k+1}\beta_k(x)^2T^k(x)G_2(T^{k+1}(x))+(-1)^{k+1}\beta_{k-1}(x)^2\int_0^{p(k)}t^2G_2(T(t))dt$$
$$+4\int_0^{T^k(x)}t^2(T^k(x)-2t)G_2(T(t))dt\cdot\beta_{k-1}(x)^4\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2}\Big)<\infty,$$

as $h \to 0$ where $p(k)$ is the smaller endpoint of the interval $I_k(x)$, that is $p(k)=\frac{p_k}{q_k}$ if k is even, and $p(k)=\frac{p_k+p_{k-1}}{q_k+q_{k-1}}$ if k is odd.

Proof. The proof is very similar to the proof of the Lemma 10, and therefore omitted. □

Proof of Theorem 2 (ii). Let $x \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ satisfy $(*)$ or $(**)$. By (40) and Lemmas 12-15 we conclude that G_2 is differentiable at x and

$$\begin{aligned}
G_2'(x) =& \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{G_2(x+h) - G_2(x)}{h} \\
=& 2\pi^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \beta_k(x)\gamma_k(x) + 4\pi^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Big(\beta_{k-1}(x)\beta_k(x)^2\gamma_k(x) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2} \Big) \\
&+ \pi^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} \beta_{k-1}(x)\beta_k(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (Q(T^k(x)))' \beta_{k-1}(x)^2 \\
&+ 4 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Big(Q(T^k(x))\beta_{k-1}(x)^4 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2} \Big) \\
&+ 6 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Big((-1)^{k+1} \beta_k(x)^2 T^k(x) G_2(T^{k+1}(x)) + (-1)^{k+1} \beta_{k-1}(x)^2 \int_0^{p(k)} t^2 G_2(T(t)) dt \\
&+ 4 \int_0^{T^k(x)} t^2 (T^k(x) - 2t) G_2(T(t)) dt \cdot \beta_{k-1}(x)^4 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \frac{T^j(x)}{\beta_j(x)^2} \Big)
\end{aligned}$$

where $(Q(T^k(x)))'$ is the derivative of the polynomial Q evaluated at $T^k(x)$ and $p(k)$ is the smaller endpoint of the interval $I_k(x)$, that is $p(k) = \frac{p_k}{q_k}$ if k is even, and $p(k) = \frac{p_k + p_{k-1}}{q_k + q_{k-1}}$ if k is odd. □

4. Proof of Theorem 3

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $x \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, let $y \in (0,1)$ and $K_y \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y \in I_{K_y}(x)$, and $y \notin I_{K_y+1}(x)$. By Corollary 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|F_2(x) - F_2(y)| \le& |F_2(T^{K_y-1}(x))\beta_{K_y-2}(x)^4 - F_2(T^{K_y-1}(y))\beta_{K_y-2}(y)^4| \\
&+ \frac{\pi^3}{3} \sum_{k=0}^{K_y-1} |u_{1,k}(x) - u_{1,k}(y)| + \sum_{k=0}^{K_y-1} |u_{2,k}(x) - u_{2,k}(y)| + 6 \sum_{k=0}^{K_y-1} |u_{3,k}(x) - u_{3,k}(y)|,
\end{aligned}$$

where $u_{1,k}, u_{2,k}, u_{3,k}$ were defined in (26). We will consider each term separately.

Let $N = \lceil \frac{1}{|x-y|^2} \rceil$. By the same arguments as in Lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|F_2(T^{K_y-1}(x))\beta_{K_y-2}(x)^4 - F_2(T^{K_y-1}(y))\beta_{K_y-2}(y)^4| \\
&\qquad \le c_1 |x-y| q_{K_y-1}^{-2} \log N + c_2 \frac{1}{N^{3/4}} \beta_{K_y-2}(y)^4 + 4\|F_2\|_\infty |x-y| \\
&\qquad \le 2c_1 |x-y| \log\Big(\frac{1}{|x-y|} \Big) + (4\|F_2\|_\infty + c_2)|x-y|, \quad (41)
\end{aligned}$$

for some constants c_1, c_2 independent of x and y.

We observe that $u_{1,k}, u_{2,k}, u_{3,k}$ are continuous and differentiable on $I_k(x)$ for all $k \le K_y$. Therefore, by the Mean Value Theorem, for each k there exists t_k between x and y such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{K_y-1} |u_{1,k}(x) - u_{1,k}(y)| \\
&\quad = \sum_{k=0}^{K_y-1} |x-y||(4\beta_{k-1}(t_k)^2\beta_k(t_k)q_{k-1} - \beta_{k-1}(t_k)^2)\log(T^k(t_k)) - \beta_{k-1}(t_k)^2| \\
&\quad \le |x-y|\Big(4(\log(2)+1)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\mathrm{Fib}_{k+1}} + \sum_{k=0}^{K_y-1}|\beta_{k-1}(t_k)^2\log(T^k(t_k))| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\mathrm{Fib}_{k+1}^2}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that for all $k \le K_y - 1$, we have $\frac{q_k}{2q_{k+1}} \le T^k(t_k) \le \frac{2q_k}{q_{k+1}}$, and hence $\frac{1}{4}T^k(x) \le T^k(t_k) \le 4T^k(x)$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{K_y-1}|\beta_{k-1}(t_k)^2\log(T^k(t_k))| \le \sum_{k=0}^{K_y-1}\frac{1}{q_k^2}\log\left(\frac{1}{T^k(t_k)}\right) \le \sum_{k=0}^{K_y-1}\frac{1}{q_k^2}\log\left(\frac{4}{T^k(x)}\right) \\
&\le \log(4)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\mathrm{Fib}_{k+1}^2} + \sum_{k=0}^{K_y-1}\frac{1}{q_k^2}\log\left(\frac{2q_{k+1}}{q_k}\right) \le \log(8)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\mathrm{Fib}_{k+1}^2} + \log(q_{K_y})\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\mathrm{Fib}_{k+1}^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that $y \in I_{K_y}(x)$ implies that $|x-y| \le |I_{K_y}(x)| \le \frac{1}{q_{K_y}^2}$, and hence $2\log(q_{K_y}) \le \log\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)$. We have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{K_y-1}|u_{1,k}(x) - u_{1,k}(y)| \le c_3|x-y|\log\Big(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\Big) + c_4|x-y|, \tag{42}$$

with $c_3 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\mathrm{Fib}_{k+1}^2}$ and $c_4 = (\log(16)+5)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\mathrm{Fib}_{k+1}^2}$.

By the Mean Value Theorem and the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 8, for some t_k between x and y we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{K_y-1}|u_{2,k}(x) - u_{2,k}(y)| = \sum_{k=0}^{K_y-1}|x-y||(P(T^k(t_k)))'\beta_{k-1}(t_k)^2 - 4P(T^k(t_k))\beta_{k-1}(t_k)^3q_{k-1}| \\
&\quad \le |x-y|\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{K_y-1}\|P'\|_\infty\frac{1}{q_k^2} + 4\sum_{k=0}^{K_y-1}\|P\|_\infty\frac{1}{q_k^2}\Big) \le (\|P'\|_\infty + 4\|P\|_\infty)|x-y|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\mathrm{Fib}_{k+1}^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

since $q_k(x) = q_k(t_k)$ for all $k \le K_y$.

By the Mean Value Theorem and the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 10, for some t_k between x and y we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{K_y-1}|u_{3,k}(x)-u_{3,k}(y)| = \sum_{k=0}^{K_y-1}|x-y||\beta_k(t_k)^2T^k(t_k)F_2(T^{k+1}(t_k))| + \beta_{k-1}(t_k)^2\int_0^{p(k)}t^2F_2(T(t))dt + 4(-1)^{k+1}\mathcal{I}_k(t_k)\beta_{k-1}(t_k)^4\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^j\frac{T^j(t_k)}{\beta_j(t_k)^2}\Bigg|$$

$$\leq |x-y|\left(\sum_{k=0}^{K_y-1}\frac{\|F_2\|_\infty}{q_{k+1}^2}+\sum_{k=0}^{K_y-1}\frac{\|F_2\|_\infty}{q_k^2}+4\sum_{k=0}^{K_y-1}\frac{\|F_2\|_\infty}{q_k^2}\right)$$

$$\leq 6\|F_2\|_\infty|x-y|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\mathrm{Fib}_{k+1}^2}. \quad (44)$$

since $q_k(x)=q_k(t_k)$ for all $k\leq K_y$.

The result follows from (41)-(44) with $C_1 = 2c_1+\frac{\pi^3}{6}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\mathrm{Fib}_{k+1}^2}$ and $C_2 = 4\|F_2\|_\infty + c_2 + (\frac{\pi^3}{3}(\log(16)+5)+\|P'\|_\infty+4\|P\|_\infty+36\|F_2\|_\infty)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\mathrm{Fib}_{k+1}^2}$. □

As we can see, we can choose constants C_1, C_2 independent of x.

5. Case $k \geq 4$

To prove Conjecture 1, we would proceed as in the case $k=2$. We would find a functional equation for

$$\varphi_k(x) = G_k(x) + iF_k(x)$$

and then iterate it.

5.1. Functional equation for φ_k.

In order to find the functional equation for φ_k we use the connection to Eisenstein series. Recall that for $k\geq 4$ even the Eisenstein series E_k is modular and it satisfies

$$E_k(t) = \frac{1}{t^k}E_k\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right), \quad (45)$$

for all $t\in\mathbb{H}$, for details see for example [Kob84, III §2].

Theorem 4. *For $k\geq 4$ even, for $\alpha\in\mathbb{H}$, and $\tau\in\mathbb{H}$, we have*

$$\varphi_k(\tau) = \tau^{k+2}\varphi_k\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) - \frac{k}{C_k}\tau\mathrm{Log}(\tau) + P_{k,\alpha}(\tau) + \int_\alpha^\tau Q_{k,\alpha}(t,\tau)\varphi_k\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right)dt,$$

where Log *denotes the principal value of the complex logarithm, $P_{k,\alpha}(\tau)$ is a polynomial in τ of degree less than or equal to $k+1$ depending on α, $Q_{k,\alpha}(t,\tau)$ is a polynomial in t and τ of degree less than or equal to $k+1$ also depending on α, and $C_k = -\frac{k!2k}{(2i\pi)^{k+1}B_k}$.*

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 4.** Throughout this section, let $4 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$ even, $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ be all fixed. We make the following observations.

Claim 7. We have

$$C_k \cdot \varphi_k(\tau) = \int_{i\infty}^{\tau} (\tau - t)^k (E_k(t) - 1) dt, \tag{46}$$

where $C_k = -\frac{k! 2k}{(2i\pi)^{k+1} B_k}$.

Proof. It follows by integrating the right-hand side of Equation (46) by parts k times. □

Claim 8. For $1 \leq j \leq k+1$ we have

$$\varphi_k^{(j)}(\tau) = (2\pi i)^j \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(n)}{n^{k+1-j}} e^{2i\pi n\tau}, \tag{47}$$

in particular

$$\varphi_k^{(k+1)}(\tau) = \frac{k!}{C_k}(E_k(\tau) - 1), \tag{48}$$

where C_k is as in the Claim 7.

Proof. We obtain (47) by differentiating $\varphi_k(\tau)$ j times. Equality (48) follows from (47) and the definition of Eisenstein series. □

Claim 9. We have

$$C_k \cdot \varphi_k(\tau) = \int_{\alpha}^{\tau} (\tau - t)^k E_k(t) dt + p_{k,\alpha}(\tau),$$

where $p_{k,\alpha}(\tau)$ is a polynomial in τ of degree less than or equal to $k+1$, which depends on α. In particular, $p_{k,\alpha}(\tau) = \frac{(\tau-\alpha)^{k+1}}{k+1} - \sum_{m=0}^{k} \frac{k!(\tau-\alpha)^{k-m}}{(k-m)!(2i\pi)^{k+1}} \varphi_k^{(k-m)}(\alpha)$.

Proof. We note that Claim 7 implies that

$$C_k \varphi_k(\tau) = \int_{\alpha}^{\tau} (\tau - t)^k E_k(t) dt - \int_{\alpha}^{\tau} (\tau - t)^k dt + \int_{i\infty}^{\alpha} (\tau - t)^k (E_k(t) - 1) dt. \tag{49}$$

We have

$$-\int_{\alpha}^{\tau} (\tau - t)^k dt = \frac{(\tau - \alpha)^{k+1}}{k+1}. \tag{50}$$

Then integrating by parts the last term in (49) k times gives

$$\int_{i\infty}^{\alpha} (\tau - t)^k (E_k(t) - 1) dt = -\sum_{m=0}^{k} \frac{k!(\tau - \alpha)^{k-m}}{(k-m)!(2i\pi)^{k+1}} \varphi_k^{(k-m)}(\alpha),$$

where $\varphi_k^{(0)}$ denotes φ_k. □

Then by (45), we get

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\tau} (\tau - t)^k E_k(t) dt = \int_{\alpha}^{\tau} \frac{(\tau - t)^k}{t^k} E_k\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right) dt.$$

Substituting (48) with $\tau = -\frac{1}{t}$, we obtain

$$\int_\alpha^\tau \frac{(\tau-t)^k}{t^k} E_k\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right) dt = \int_\alpha^\tau \frac{(\tau-t)^k}{t^k}\left(1 + \frac{C_k}{k!}\varphi_k^{(k+1)}\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right)\right) dt$$
$$= \int_\alpha^\tau \frac{(\tau-t)^k}{t^k} dt + \frac{C_k}{k!}\int_\alpha^\tau \frac{(\tau-t)^k}{t^k}\varphi_k^{(k+1)}\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right) dt. \quad (51)$$

Claim 10. We have

$$\int_\alpha^\tau \frac{(\tau-t)^k}{t^k} dt = -k\tau \mathrm{Log}(\tau) + q_{k,\alpha}(\tau), \quad (52)$$

where $q_{k,\alpha}(\tau)$ is a polynomial in τ of degree less than or equal to k depending of α. In particular, $q_{k,\alpha}(\tau) = \sum_{m=0}^{k-2}(-1)^m\binom{k}{m}\frac{1}{m-k+1}(\tau - \tau^{k-m}\alpha^{m-k+1}) + k\tau\mathrm{Log}(\alpha) + \tau - \alpha$.

Proof. To see that, we note

$$\int_\alpha^\tau \frac{(\tau-t)^k}{t^k} dt = \int_\alpha^\tau \left(\sum_{m=0}^{k-2}(-1)^m\binom{k}{m}\tau^{k-m}t^{m-k} - k\tau t^{-1} + 1\right) dt.$$

□

Substituting (50), (51) and (52) into (49) gives

$$\varphi_k(\tau) = -\frac{k}{C_k}\tau\mathrm{Log}(\tau) + \frac{1}{k!}\int_\alpha^\tau \frac{(\tau-t)^k}{t^k}\varphi_k^{(k+1)}\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right) dt + \frac{1}{C_k}(p_{k,\alpha}(\tau) + q_{k,\alpha}(\tau)). \quad (53)$$

It rests to evaluate the integral $\int_\alpha^\tau \frac{(\tau-t)^k}{t^k}\varphi_k^{(k+1)}\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right) dt$.

Then we have

Claim 11. We have

$$\int_\alpha^\tau \frac{(\tau-t)^k}{t^k}\varphi_k^{(k+1)}\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right) dt = k!\tau^{k+2}\varphi_k\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) + r_{k,\alpha}(\tau) + \int_\alpha^\tau s_{k,\alpha}(t,\tau)\varphi_k\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right) dt,$$

where $r_{k,\alpha}(\tau)$ is a polynomial in τ of degree less than or equal to $k+1$ depending on α, and $s_{k,\alpha}(t,\tau)$ is a polynomial in t and τ of degree less than or equal to $k+1$.

Proof. We use the substitution $u = -\frac{1}{t}$, and we have

$$\int_\alpha^\tau \frac{(\tau-t)^k}{t^k}\varphi_k^{(k+1)}\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right) dt = \int_{t=\alpha}^\tau u^{k-2}\left(\tau + \frac{1}{u}\right)^k \varphi_k^{(k+1)}(u) du.$$

For simplicity, we will define $v_0(x,\tau) = \left(-\frac{1}{x}\right)^{k-2}(\tau - x)^k$, and for $m \geq 0$, $v_m(x,\tau) = \left[\frac{\partial^m u^{k-2}\left(\tau+\frac{1}{u}\right)^k}{\partial u^m}\right]_{u=-\frac{1}{x}}$. By Leibniz product formula for $m \leq k$, we have

$$v_m\left(-\frac{1}{u},\tau\right) = \frac{\partial^m u^{k-2}\left(\tau+\frac{1}{u}\right)^k}{\partial u^m}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^j \frac{m!k!(j+1)}{(m-j)!(k-m+j)!} u^{-2+k-m} \tau^{m-j} \left(\tau + \frac{1}{u}\right)^{k-m+j}.$$

Hence for all $0 \leq m \leq k$, we have $v_m(\tau, \tau) = 0$. Then integrating by parts k times gives

$$\int_{t=\alpha}^{\tau} u^{k-2} \left(\tau + \frac{1}{u}\right)^k \varphi_k^{(k+1)}(u) du = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{i+1} v_i(\alpha, \tau) \varphi_k^{(k-i)} \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) + \int_{t=\alpha}^{\tau} v_k \left(-\frac{1}{u}, \tau\right) \varphi_k'(u) du.$$

We observe that $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{i+1} v_i(\alpha, \tau) \varphi_k^{(k-i)} \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$ is a polynomial in τ of degree less than or equal to k. We then note that

$$v_k \left(-\frac{1}{u}, \tau\right) = k! u^{-2} \tau^k + \sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^j \frac{k!k!(j+1)}{(k-j)!(j)!} u^{-2} \tau^{k-j} \left(\tau + \frac{1}{u}\right)^j.$$

For simplicity, write $w_k \left(-\frac{1}{u}, \tau\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^j \frac{k!k!(j+1)}{(k-j)!(j)!} u^{-2} \tau^{k-j} \left(\tau + \frac{1}{u}\right)^j$. We then have:

(1) $w_k(\tau, \tau) = 0$;
(2) $w_k(\alpha, \tau)$ is a polynomial in τ of degree less then or equal to k;
(3) $\frac{\partial w_k\left(-\frac{1}{u}, \tau\right)}{\partial u} = -\sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^j \frac{k!k!(j+1)}{(k-j)!(j)!} \tau^{k-j} u^{-3} \left(2\left(\tau + \frac{1}{u}\right)^j + j u^{-1} \left(\tau + \frac{1}{u}\right)^{j-1}\right)$;
(4) $\left[\frac{\partial w_k\left(-\frac{1}{u}, \tau\right)}{\partial u}\right]_{u=-\frac{1}{t}}$ can be written as $t^2 w_{k+1,\alpha}(t, \tau)$, where $w_{k+1,\alpha}(t, \tau)$ is a polynomial in t and τ of degree less than or equal to $k+1$.

Therefore we have

$$\int_{t=\alpha}^{\tau} v_k \left(-\frac{1}{u}, \tau\right) \varphi_k'(u) du = k! \tau^{k+2} \varphi_k \left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) - k! \alpha^k \varphi_k \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) - w_k(\alpha, \tau) \varphi_k \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) + \int_{\alpha}^{\tau} \left(s_{k,\alpha}(t, \tau) + 2k! t \tau^k\right) \varphi_k \left(-\frac{1}{t}\right) dt.$$

Letting $r_{k,\alpha}(\tau) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{i+1} v_i(\alpha, \tau) \varphi_k^{(k-i)} \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) - k! \alpha^k \varphi_k \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) - w_k(\alpha, \tau) \varphi_k \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$, and $s_{k,\alpha}(t, \tau) = w_{k+1,\alpha}(t, \tau) + 2k! t \tau^k$, gives the result. □

Proof of Theorem 4. If follows from Equations (52) and (53) that for $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}$, and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, we have

$$\varphi_k(\tau) = \tau^{k+2} \varphi_k \left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) - \frac{k}{C_k} \tau \mathrm{Log}(\tau) + P_{k,\alpha}(\tau) + \int_{\alpha}^{\tau} Q_{k,\alpha}(t, \tau) \varphi_k \left(-\frac{1}{t}\right) dt,$$

where $P_{k,\alpha}(\tau) = \frac{1}{C_k}(p_{k,\alpha}(\tau) + q_{k,\alpha}(\tau)) + \frac{1}{k!} r_{k,\alpha}(\tau)$ is a polynomial in τ of degree less than or equal to $k+1$, and $Q_{k,\alpha}(t, \tau) = \frac{1}{k!} s_{k,\alpha}(t, \tau)$ is a polynomial in t and τ of degree less than or equal to $k+1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4 □

5.3. **Heuristic approach to Conjecture 1.** We assume we can let $\alpha \to 0$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, letting $\tau \to x$, we get:

$$\varphi_k(x) = x^{k+2}\varphi_k\left(-\frac{1}{x}\right) - \frac{k}{C_k}x\log(x) + P_{k,0}(x) + \int_0^x Q_{k,0}(t,x)\varphi_k\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right)dt. \tag{54}$$

We read the behaviour of F_2 and G_2 around 0 from this equation. In order to prove part (i) of Conjecture 1, we would find another functional equation for φ_k in a similar way to the proof of Theorem 4. We would apply the modular property of E_k, namely that for all $t \in \mathbb{H}$ and for all $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $E_k(t) = \frac{E_k(\gamma\cdot t)}{(ct+d)^k}$, in the calculations instead of (45).

Taking imaginary parts on both sides of Equation (54), we get

$$F_k(x) = x^{k+2}F_k\left(-\frac{1}{x}\right) + D_k x\log(x) + P_k(x) + \int_0^x Q_k(t,x)F_k\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right)dt,$$

where $Q_k(t,x) = \mathrm{Im}(Q_{k,0}(t,x))$, $P_k(x) = \mathrm{Im}(P_{k,0}(x))$, and $D_k = \frac{(2i)^k\pi^{k+1}B_k}{k!}$. Taking real parts on both sides of Equation (54), we get

$$G_k(x) = x^{k+2}G_k\left(-\frac{1}{x}\right) + R_k(x) + \int_0^x S_k(t,x)G_k\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right)dt,$$

where $S_k(t,x) = \mathrm{Re}(Q_{k,0}(t,x))$ and $R_{k+1}(x) = \mathrm{Re}(P_{k,0}(x))$.

Claim 12. Let $x \in (0,1)\backslash\mathbb{Q}$. Assume that (54) holds.

(1) We have:

$$F_k(x) = -x^{k+2}F_k(T(x)) + D_k x\log(x) + P_k(x) - \int_0^x Q_k(t,x)F_k(T(t))dt;$$

$$G_k(x) = x^{k+2}G_k(T(x)) + R_k(x) + \int_0^x S_k(t,x)G_k(T(t))dt.$$

(2) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_k(x) =& (-1)^{n+1}\beta_n(x)^{k+2}F_k(T^{n+1}(x)) - D_k\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^j\beta_{j-1}(x)^k\beta_j(x)\gamma_j(x) \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^j\beta_{j-1}(x)^{k+2}P_k(T^j(x)) \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j+1}\beta_{j-1}(x)^{k+2}\int_0^{T^j(x)}Q_k(t,T^j(x))F_k(T(t))dt; \\ G_k(x) =& \beta_n(x)^{k+2}G_k(T^{n+1}(x)) + \sum_{j=0}^{n}\beta_{j-1}(x)^{k+2}R_k(T^j(x)) \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{n}\beta_{j-1}(x)^{k+2}\int_0^{T^j(x)}S_k(t,T^j(x))G_k(T(t))dt; \end{aligned}$$

(3) letting $n \to \infty$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_k(x) =& - D_k \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \beta_{j-1}(x)^k \beta_j(x) \gamma_j(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \beta_{j-1}(x)^{k+2} P_k(T^j(x)) \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \beta_{j-1}(x)^{k+2} \int_0^{T^j(x)} Q_k(t, T^j(x)) F_k(T(t)) dt; \\ G_k(x) =& \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{j-1}(x)^{k+2} R_k(T^j(x)) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{j-1}(x)^{k+2} \int_0^{T^j(x)} S_k(t, T^j(x)) G_k(T(t)) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Proof. The proof is very similar to the proof of Proposition 5 and therefore omitted. □

We then have

Claim 13. Let $x \in (0,1) \backslash \mathbb{Q}$. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $\beta_{j-1}(x)^k \beta_j(x) \gamma_j(x)$ is differentiable at x and

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta_{j-1}(x)^k \beta_j(x) \gamma_j(x))' =& (-1)^j \beta_{j-1}(x)^{k+2} \\ &+ (-1)^j (k+2) \beta_{j-1}(x)^{k-1} \beta_j(x) \gamma_j(x) q_{j-1} - (-1)^j \beta_{j-1}(x)^{k-1} \gamma_j(x). \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\beta_{j-1}(x)^{k+2} + (k+2) \beta_{j-1}(x)^{k-1} \beta_j(x) \gamma_j(x) q_{j-1} - \beta_{j-1}(x)^{k-1} \gamma_j(x) \right) \right| < \infty,$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(q_{j+1})}{q_j^k} < \infty.$$

Proof. The proof is very similar to the proofs of Lemmas 5 and 6 and therefore omitted. □

Claim 14. Let $x \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $\beta_{j-1}(x)^{k+2} P_k(T^j(x))$ is differentiable at x and

$$\begin{aligned} &(\beta_{j-1}(x)^{k+2} P_k(T^j(x)))' \\ &\qquad = (-1)^{j-1} (k+2) \beta_{j-1}(x)^{k+1} q_{k-1} P_k(T^j(x)) + (-1)^j \beta_{j-1}(x)^k P_k'(T^j(x)), \end{aligned}$$

where $P_k'(T^j(x))$ is the derivative of $P_k(y)$ with respect to y evaluated at $T^j(x)$.

We also have that

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(-(k+2) \beta_{j-1}(x)^{k+1} q_{j-1} P_k(T^j(x)) + \beta_{j-1}(x)^k P_k'(T^j(x)) \right) \right| < \infty,$$

for all $x \in (0,1) \backslash \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. The proof is very similar to the proofs of Lemmas 8 and 9 and therefore omitted. □

Claim 15. Let $x \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. We have that $\beta_{j-1}(x)^{k+2} \int_0^{T^j(x)} Q_k(t, T^j(x)) F_k(T(t)) dt$ is differentiable at x for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}(\beta_{j-1}(x)^{k+2} &\int_0^{T^j(x)} Q_k(t, T^j(x)) F_k(T(t)) dt)' \\ &= (-1)^{j-1}(k+2)\beta_{j-1}(x)^{k+1} q_{j-1} \int_0^{T^j(x)} Q_k(t, T^j(x)) F_k(T(t)) dt \\ &\quad + (-1)^j \beta_{j-1}(x)^k \int_0^{p(j)} Q_k'(t, T^j(x)) F_k(T(t)) dt \\ &\quad + (-1)^j \beta_{j-1}(x)^k Q_k(t, T^j(x)) F_k(T^{j+1}(t)),\end{aligned}$$

where $Q_k'(t, T^j(x))$ is the derivative of $Q_k(t, y)$ with respect to y evaluated at $y = T^j(x)$, and $p(j)$ is the smaller endpoint of the interval $I_j(x)$.

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Bigg((k+2)\beta_{j-1}(x)^{k+1} q_{j-1} \int_0^{T^j(x)} Q_k(t, T^j(x)) F_k(T(t)) dt \right. \\ - \beta_{j-1}(x)^k \int_0^{p(j)} Q_k'(t, T^j(x)) F_k(T(t)) dt \\ \left. - \beta_{j-1}(x)^k Q_k(t, T^j(x)) F_k(T^{j+1}(t)) \Bigg) \right| < \infty,\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. The proof is very similar to the proofs of Lemmas 10 and 11 and therefore omitted. □

Supposing that we can let $\alpha \to 0$ in Theorem 45. The individual terms in the two sums in (55) are differentiable at every $x \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and the sums of the derivatives evaluated at $x \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ converge. Since we are dealing with infinite sums, we cannot say that the derivative of $F_k(x)$ is the sum of derivatives from Claims 13-15 over $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Formally, to prove the conjecture (ii) and (iii), we would proceed as in the case $k = 2$ first showing that we can let $\alpha \to 0$ in Theorem 45.

References

[BDBLS05] L. Báez-Duarte, M. Balazard, B. Landreau, and E. Saias. Étude de l'autocorrélation multiplicative de la fonction "partie fractionnaire". *The Ramanujan Journal*, 9:215–240, 2005.

[BM12] M. Balazard and B. Martin. Comportement local moyen de la fonction de Brjuno. *Fund. Math.*, 218(3):193–224, 2012.

[BM13] M. Balazard and B. Martin. Sur l'autocorrélation multiplicative de la fonction "partie fractionnaire" et une fonction définie par J. R. Wilton. Preprint, arXiv:1305.4395, 2013.

[Brj71] A. D. Brjuno. Analytic form of differential equations. I (Russian). *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč.*, 25:119–262, 1971.

[Brj72] A. D. Brjuno. Analytic form of differential equations. II (Russian). *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč.*, 26:199–239, 1972.

[Dui91] J.J. Duistermaat. Self-similarity of "Riemann's nondifferentiable function". *Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (4)*, 9(3):303–337, 1991.

[Ger70] J. Gerver. The differentiability of the Riemann function at certain rational multiples of π. *Amer. J. Math.*, 92:33–55, 1970.

[Har16] G.H. Hardy. Weierstrass's non-differentiable function. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 17:301–325, 1916.

[HL14] G.H. Hardy and J.E. Littlewood. Some problems of Diophantine approximation. *Acta Math.*, 37:193–239, 1914.

[HW60] G.H. Hardy and E.M. Wright. *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*. Oxford, at the Clarendon Press, Oxford, fourth edition, 1960.

[Ita81] S. Itatsu. Differentiability of Riemann's function. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 57(10):492–495, 1981.

[Jaf96] S. Jaffard. The spectrum of singularities of Riemann's function. *Revista Mathematica Iberoamericana*, 12(2):441–460, 1996.

[Khi64] A.Ya. Khinchin. *Continued Fractions*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1964.

[Kno90] M. Knopp. Modular integrals and their Mellin transforms. In *Analytic number theory (Allerton Park, IL, 1989)*, volume 85 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 327–342. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990.

[Kob84] N. Koblitz. *Introduction to Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.

[MMY97] S. Marmi, P. Moussa, and J.-C. Yoccoz. The Brjuno Functions and Their Regularity Properties. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 186:265–293, 1997.

[MMY06] S. Marmi, P. Moussa, and J.-C. Yoccoz. Some Properties of Real and Complex Brjuno Functions. In *Frontiers in number theory, physics, and geometry. I*, pages 601–623. Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[Pet13] I. Petrykiewicz. Hölder regularity of arithmetic Fourier series arising from modular forms. Preprint, arXiv:1311.0655, 2013.

[Pet14a] I. Petrykiewicz. Note on the differentiability of Fourier series arising from Eisenstein series. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 352(4):273–276, 2014.

[Pet14b] I. Petrykiewicz. *Propriétés analytiques et diophantiennes de certaines séries de Fourier arithmétiques*. PhD thesis, Institut Fourier, Grenoble I, 2014.

[Riv12] T. Rivoal. On the convergence of diophantine Dirichlet series. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.*, 55:513–541, 2012.

[Ten95] G. Tenenbaum. *Introduction à la théorie analytique et probabiliste des nombres*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1995.

[Wil33] J.R. Wilton. An approximate functional equation with applications to a problem of Diophantine approximation. *J. reine angew. Math.*, 169:219–237, 1933.

[Yoc88] J.-C. Yoccoz. Linéarisation des germes de difféomorphismes holomorphes de $(\mathbf{C}, 0)$. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 306(1):55–58, 1988.

(I. Petrykiewicz) Université Joseph Fourier, Institut Fourier, 100 rue des maths, 38402 St Martin d'Hères, France; Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Vivatsgasse 7, 53111 Bonn, Germany

E-mail address: petrykii@mpim-bonn.mpg.de